

2025 International Conference on Fiscal Management, Holistic Social Studies (FMHSS 2025)

Article

Theoretical and Practical Exploration of Digital Technologies Empowering Precision Planning of Urban Green Space Systems

Lening Li^{1,*}

¹ No.2 Institute of Ecological Landscape Architecture, Shanxi Urban and Rural Planning & Design Institute Co., Ltd., Taiyuan, China

* Correspondence: Lening Li, No.2 Institute of Ecological Landscape Architecture, Shanxi Urban and Rural Planning & Design Institute Co., Ltd., Taiyuan, China

Abstract: Urban green spaces (UGS) play a critical and multifaceted role in enhancing ecological resilience, promoting public health, and significantly improving the overall livability of modern cities. However, the unprecedented and rapid pace of global urbanization poses severe challenges to their efficient planning, equitable distribution, and long-term management. Traditional planning methodologies often lack the necessary granularity and dynamic responsiveness required to address these complex urban ecosystems. Consequently, this paper comprehensively explores how advanced digital technologies—specifically Geographic Information Systems (GIS), high-resolution remote sensing, the Internet of Things (IoT), big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI)—can profoundly empower the precision planning of urban green space systems. Through a systematic review of both international and domestic research literature, an examination of robust theoretical foundations, and detailed empirical case studies, this study critically analyzes the operational effectiveness, inherent challenges, and promising future directions of data-driven UGS planning. The findings indicate that the seamless integration of these digital tools fundamentally facilitates evidence-based decision-making, enables precise spatial optimization, allows for continuous real-time environmental monitoring, and fosters broader community participation. Ultimately, this technological synergy overcomes the limitations of conventional approaches, providing innovative and highly effective new paradigms for achieving sustainable urban development and fostering resilient, future-ready smart cities.

Keywords: urban green space; digital technology; precision planning; gis; smart city; sustainable development

Received: 10 May 2026

Revised: 17 June 2026

Accepted: 28 June 2026

Published: 01 July 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background and Significance

Urban green spaces provide a wide range of ecological, social, and economic advantages, such as capturing carbon, moderating temperatures, offering recreational activities, and enhancing mental well-being. However, conventional planning approaches often depend on outdated datasets and generalized methodologies, which lack precision and adaptability to specific spatial contexts. With the advent of smart city technologies, the analysis, design, and management of green space systems are undergoing significant transformation. By leveraging spatial data, real-time monitoring tools, and advanced AI-driven models, urban planners can achieve more accurate and responsive strategies that prioritize ecological sustainability while addressing the diverse needs of urban populations. This shift represents a critical evolution in urban planning practices.

1.2. Research Status at Home and Abroad

Globally, digital technology has been widely incorporated into urban green space planning to improve spatial accuracy, ecological functionality, and the delivery of public services. Various advanced tools, including GIS, remote sensing, artificial intelligence, and big data, have been utilized to evaluate green space accessibility, environmental advantages, and equitable distribution [1]. For example, initiatives in certain regions employ IoT sensors and spatial analysis to monitor park usage patterns and manage biodiversity corridors in real time, showcasing the potential of technology in optimizing urban ecological systems. In Europe, large-scale projects emphasize nature-based solutions supported by data-driven modeling to address urban environmental challenges. Comparatively, domestic research efforts in China have concentrated on leveraging GIS and remote sensing technologies to study land use patterns, green space allocation, and urban ecological networks. Programs such as the "Sponge City" initiative highlight the application of digital hydrological modeling and three-dimensional simulations to guide the planning of green infrastructure [2]. However, these applications often remain limited to fragmented or pilot-level implementations. Challenges such as insufficient data sharing mechanisms, lack of cross-departmental coordination, and the absence of standardized planning frameworks continue to hinder the broader integration of digital technologies into urban green space management within the domestic context.

1.3. Research Content and Methods

This study delves into the theoretical underpinnings, technological pathways, and practical applications of digital technologies in the precision planning of urban green space systems. The primary research objectives include analyzing the structural and functional dynamics of urban green space systems, investigating the integration and utility of digital tools such as geographic information systems (GIS), remote sensing, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI) in spatial analysis and decision-making processes, and evaluating implementation outcomes and challenges through representative case studies. Methodologically, the research employs a mixed-methods approach that combines both qualitative and quantitative techniques. Spatial analysis leverages GIS and remote sensing data to evaluate patterns and distributions of green spaces, while big data sources, including user mobility patterns and social media activity, are utilized to gain insights into human interactions with these spaces. Additionally, case study analysis and policy reviews are conducted to compare practices across international and domestic contexts [1, 3]. Expert interviews further enrich the study by providing perspectives on technological feasibility and identifying governance-related barriers to implementation [4, 5].

2. Theoretical Foundations of Digital Green Space Planning

2.1. Concepts and Characteristics of Urban Green Space Systems

Urban green spaces include a diverse array of vegetated areas within urban environments, such as public parks, green corridors, community gardens, street greenery, urban forests, and innovative solutions like rooftop and vertical vegetation. These green infrastructures fulfill numerous ecological and social roles. They help mitigate the urban heat island effect, improve air and water quality, support biodiversity, and manage stormwater runoff. Additionally, they provide recreational opportunities and aesthetic benefits, enhancing the quality of life for urban residents. Beyond these physical benefits, urban green spaces play a critical role in fostering psychological well-being by offering environments conducive to relaxation and stress reduction. They also promote social cohesion by creating inclusive public spaces that encourage interaction among diverse community members [6]. Given their multifunctional nature, the planning and management of green space systems require a comprehensive and integrated approach. This involves considering ecological connectivity, spatial accessibility, and equitable demographic distribution. A systematic and spatially explicit planning framework enables cities to optimize land use, align green infrastructure with broader urban

development objectives, and ensure that ecosystem services are distributed equitably across all communities, thereby addressing both environmental and social sustainability goals.

2.2. Digital Technology in Urban Planning: A New Paradigm

The integration of digital technology into urban planning signifies a transformative evolution from traditional, static blueprint-based methods to dynamic, evidence-driven, and adaptive planning frameworks. These advanced tools enable urban planners to analyze intricate spatial relationships, simulate diverse scenarios, and adapt to real-time environmental and societal changes. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) play a pivotal role by facilitating multi-layer mapping and spatial pattern analysis, which help identify deficiencies in green space distribution, evaluate accessibility, and prioritize ecological connectivity. Remote sensing technologies, utilizing high-resolution satellite and UAV imagery, provide critical data for vegetation classification, land use monitoring, and detecting temporal changes in urban landscapes [7]. Furthermore, machine learning and artificial intelligence models enhance the ability to predict urban growth patterns, assess ecosystem service delivery, and evaluate potential environmental impacts. By employing these technologies, urban planners can make decisions that are not only more precise but also inclusive, integrating citizen input and preferences. This approach ensures that green space planning aligns effectively with ecological demands and human well-being, fostering sustainable urban development.

2.3. Framework for Precision Planning

Precision planning of urban green space systems is based on a cyclical and data-driven methodology designed to improve planning accuracy, adaptability, and stakeholder involvement. This framework is structured around four essential components. The first component, data acquisition, involves gathering diverse datasets such as satellite imagery, land use maps, IoT sensor data, and user-generated content to create a detailed understanding of existing green infrastructure. This comprehensive data collection enables planners to identify gaps and opportunities within urban ecosystems. The second component, spatial analysis and modeling, employs advanced digital tools like geographic information systems and artificial intelligence algorithms to assess ecological performance, predict land-use transformations, and pinpoint optimal locations for green space development [8]. These analytical processes ensure that planning decisions are informed by robust scientific insights. The third component, stakeholder integration, prioritizes participatory planning by actively involving residents, urban planners, environmental specialists, and policymakers. This engagement is often facilitated through digital platforms such as online surveys, virtual workshops, or interactive urban dashboards, fostering collaboration and inclusivity. Lastly, dynamic feedback mechanisms enable continuous monitoring, adaptive policy revisions, and iterative improvements, ensuring that planning remains responsive to environmental shifts and evolving urban demands. Effective interoperability between systems, strong data governance, and institutional collaboration are vital for sustaining this digital planning ecosystem.

3. Digital Technologies Empowering Urban Green Space Planning

3.1. GIS and Remote Sensing

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are foundational tools for conducting spatial analysis and supporting decision-making processes in urban green space planning. By integrating and layering diverse geospatial datasets, such as those related to land use, demographic distribution, transportation networks, and ecological indicators, GIS enables comprehensive analyses of various factors, including green space accessibility, ecological connectivity, landscape fragmentation, and land suitability. Advanced spatial techniques, such as buffer analysis, kernel density estimation, and least-cost path modeling, are employed to identify optimal locations for new parks, ecological corridors, or restoration

areas. For example, service area mapping can highlight neighborhoods that lack adequate access to green spaces, thereby guiding planning efforts aimed at addressing equity concerns. These capabilities make GIS an indispensable tool for creating sustainable and inclusive urban environments.

Remote sensing technologies significantly enhance the functionality of GIS by providing consistent, large-scale, and frequent environmental data [9, 10]. Satellite systems, including those offering high-resolution imagery, are utilized to assess vegetation health through indices such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), monitor seasonal or long-term land cover changes, and detect urban expansion into natural areas. These technologies are particularly valuable for tracking ecological degradation, evaluating tree canopy loss, and assessing the effectiveness of green infrastructure over time. By offering a bird's-eye view of urban and natural landscapes, remote sensing tools enable planners to make informed decisions that balance urban development with ecological preservation.

The integration of GIS and remote sensing creates a dynamic and synergistic planning environment where data can be visualized, quantified, and modeled across both spatial and temporal scales. As technological advancements continue, the incorporation of tools such as unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), and artificial intelligence-assisted image classification further enhances the precision and applicability of these methods [11]. These innovations allow for more detailed analyses and enable planners to address complex challenges in urban green space management. By leveraging these tools, strategic policymaking and localized green infrastructure planning can be conducted with greater accuracy and efficiency, ensuring that urban development aligns with ecological and social objectives.

3.2. Big Data and Cloud Computing

The proliferation of mobile technologies and digital platforms has led to the generation of extensive location-based data, offering valuable insights into how individuals engage with urban environments, including green infrastructure. Data sources such as GPS logs from mobile devices, social media activity on platforms like WeChat, and usage patterns from fitness applications provide detailed information on visitation trends, peak usage periods, and variations in access to green spaces across different demographic groups. These datasets enable urban planners to move beyond static representations of land use and incorporate dynamic, real-world behavioral patterns and user preferences into their analyses. To handle the vast and often unstructured nature of such data, cloud computing platforms provide the necessary scalability and computational capabilities. These platforms facilitate real-time monitoring, dynamic data visualization, and collaborative decision-making through cloud-based urban dashboards. Additionally, the integration of environmental IoT sensors, which measure variables such as air quality, soil moisture, and park occupancy, allows for the fusion of diverse data streams. This integration supports adaptive planning for green infrastructure, ensuring that it evolves in response to both citizen needs and environmental conditions.

3.3. Artificial Intelligence and Predictive Modeling

Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning (ML), has become a pivotal tool in the field of green space planning [12]. Advanced algorithms, including Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), are capable of analyzing extensive datasets encompassing spatial, environmental, and behavioral information to create predictive models for future land use, ecological assessments, and infrastructure requirements. These models excel at uncovering spatial patterns and relationships that traditional statistical methods may overlook. For example, AI can simulate various land-use change scenarios, evaluate the cooling effects of urban vegetation under diverse planting configurations, or identify priority areas for enhancing green coverage based on multiple criteria such as pollution levels, population density, and heat-related challenges. In situations requiring planning under uncertainty, such as

addressing the impacts of climate change or rapid urbanization, AI-driven tools facilitate proactive governance and design strategies. This enables urban green spaces to be more adaptable and robust, ensuring their sustainability and effectiveness in meeting evolving environmental and societal needs.

4. Case Studies: Application of Digital Technology in Urban Green Space Planning

4.1. Hangzhou's Green Space Digital Twin

Hangzhou, recognized as a leader in the development of smart cities within China, has implemented an advanced 3D digital twin platform designed to enhance the precision and adaptability of urban green space planning and management. This platform integrates diverse data sources, including high-resolution geographic information system (GIS) layers, real-time environmental metrics collected through Internet of Things (IoT) sensors monitoring air quality and soil moisture, and multi-temporal remote sensing imagery obtained from both international and domestic satellite systems. By creating a dynamic and interactive virtual representation of the city's green infrastructure, the platform enables urban planners and policymakers to analyze ecological patterns, monitor the health of green spaces, and simulate various future scenarios to inform decision-making processes. Key functionalities include the modeling of ecological corridors to support species migration, the estimation of carbon sequestration potential under varying vegetation configurations, and the assessment of stormwater management capabilities based on topographical and soil infiltration characteristics. Furthermore, the platform fosters interdepartmental collaboration by providing shared dashboards where stakeholders can overlay data on infrastructure projects, environmental risks, and zoning regulations. Public engagement is also enhanced through user-friendly modules that allow citizens to explore green space initiatives, provide feedback, and participate in planning consultations. By enabling real-time simulations and adaptive policy development, this digital twin not only supports evidence-based planning but also promotes transparency, resilience, and public trust in urban green space management.

4.2. Singapore's Smart Park Management System

Singapore's National Parks Board has implemented a sophisticated Smart Park Management System that sets a high standard for responsive and user-focused management of urban green spaces. Central to this system is the integration of IoT sensors strategically placed throughout major parks to monitor a variety of environmental and usage parameters, including visitor density, soil moisture levels, ambient temperature, humidity, lighting conditions, and noise levels. The data collected is processed in real time using advanced cloud-based AI technologies, which generate actionable insights to enhance park operations. For example, irrigation schedules are optimized through predictive analytics that account for weather patterns and soil conditions, ensuring efficient water usage. Similarly, dynamic lighting systems adjust their brightness based on pedestrian activity and natural light availability, promoting both safety and energy conservation. Maintenance processes are also streamlined through predictive tools that detect potential equipment issues, allowing for timely and cost-effective repairs. Additionally, visitors benefit from mobile applications that provide real-time updates on park conditions, availability of facilities, and options to report concerns or suggest improvements. This interactive feature strengthens community involvement and ensures that park services remain aligned with user expectations. The system exemplifies how the integration of environmental monitoring, behavioral analysis, and smart infrastructure can create adaptable, inclusive, and environmentally sustainable urban green spaces, serving as a model for future urban park management innovations.

4.3. New York's TreeMap and Urban Forest Modeling

New York City's TreeMap platform serves as a leading example of participatory urban forestry, leveraging digital technology and open data to enhance sustainability and resilience. The platform catalogs comprehensive information on over 700,000 street trees

distributed across the city's five boroughs. This data is meticulously gathered through advanced methods such as LiDAR scanning, high-resolution aerial imagery, and extensive citizen-led tree census initiatives. The recorded attributes include species identification, tree age, diameter, precise location, and overall condition. Beyond its role as a visualization tool, the platform incorporates ecological modeling capabilities that quantify the ecosystem services provided by individual trees. These services include annual carbon sequestration, mitigation of stormwater runoff, and reductions in electricity consumption through shading effects. A particularly innovative feature of TreeMap is its public engagement mechanism, which allows users to "adopt" trees, monitor their health, report damages, or request new plantings. This participatory approach not only fosters environmental stewardship but also democratizes urban forestry efforts. Additionally, the platform supports strategic urban planning by employing predictive models to assess species resilience under changing climate conditions, prioritize planting in neighborhoods vulnerable to heat stress, and enhance biodiversity. By integrating data transparency, community involvement, and scientific analysis, TreeMap exemplifies how urban green infrastructure planning can be made more inclusive, adaptive, and ecologically beneficial through the application of digital innovation.

5. Challenges and Limitations

5.1. Data Standardization and Integration

One of the primary challenges in utilizing digital technologies for urban green space planning lies in the absence of standardized data formats, protocols, and mechanisms for ensuring interoperability. Data related to urban green infrastructure is often derived from diverse sources, including satellite imagery, municipal geographic information systems, sensor networks, crowd-sourced contributions, and commercial providers. These datasets exhibit significant variability in terms of spatial resolution, frequency of updates, file formats, coordinate systems, and metadata quality. Furthermore, ownership of such data is often fragmented across multiple entities, including government agencies, research organizations, and private companies, each operating under distinct policies for data access and storage. This lack of standardization complicates efforts to integrate disparate datasets into a unified planning framework, resulting in inefficiencies, duplicated efforts, and inconsistent analytical outputs. Additionally, the absence of common standards for data collection and classification hinders comprehensive spatial analysis and limits the ability to leverage digital tools effectively. Addressing these challenges requires cities to prioritize the establishment of unified data standards, the development of open-access platforms, and the creation of institutional mechanisms that facilitate cross-sectoral data sharing and governance. Such measures are essential for enabling coherent and efficient urban green space planning processes.

5.2. Technological and Financial Constraints

The integration of advanced digital technologies, including AI-driven modeling, cloud-based GIS platforms, and high-resolution remote sensing, necessitates significant investments in both financial and human resources. Initial expenditures encompass software licensing, the establishment of hardware infrastructure, deployment of sensors, and the construction of comprehensive databases. Beyond these upfront costs, there are ongoing financial commitments required for system maintenance, regular software updates, robust cybersecurity measures, and extensive data storage solutions. Equally critical is the availability of skilled professionals, such as GIS analysts, data scientists, and environmental planners, who possess the expertise to operate these systems effectively and interpret their outputs accurately. For smaller and medium-sized cities, particularly those in less economically developed regions, these barriers can be particularly challenging. Limited technical capacity, insufficient funding mechanisms, and a lack of institutional support often hinder the ability to establish and sustain such sophisticated digital ecosystems. Furthermore, dependence on external vendors or consultants may

reduce local adaptability and resilience [13, 14]. Addressing these issues requires coordinated efforts, including national-level funding initiatives, collaborative knowledge-sharing networks among cities, and targeted capacity-building programs aimed at democratizing access to digital planning tools and fostering local expertise.

5.3. Governance and Public Participation

Digital planning tools have the potential to significantly enhance analytical capabilities and operational efficiency in urban development [15, 16]. However, if these tools are not designed with inclusivity as a core principle, they risk sidelining community voices and perpetuating inequities [17]. Many digital systems are created and deployed through a top-down approach, prioritizing technical optimization over the integration of local knowledge, cultural values, and lived experiences [18]. This approach can lead to planning outcomes that fail to address the nuanced needs of diverse urban populations [4, 10]. Additionally, disparities in access to digital infrastructure, internet connectivity, and technological literacy exacerbate the digital divide, leaving vulnerable groups unable to participate meaningfully in smart green space initiatives [3]. Such exclusion undermines the equitable distribution of benefits and the overall sustainability of these projects [17]. To counteract these challenges, it is imperative to embed participatory processes throughout the digital planning cycle [10]. This involves ensuring open access to data, utilizing inclusive design platforms, engaging citizens in data collection through tools like participatory GIS or mobile applications, and maintaining transparency in how data influences decision-making [17]. By fostering genuine community engagement and integrating governance with participatory mechanisms, digital green space planning can achieve outcomes that are both equitable and sustainable, addressing the diverse needs of urban populations effectively [3].

6. Conclusion

Digital technologies are fundamentally reshaping urban green space planning into a more responsive, evidence-based, and inclusive system of decision-making and management. The combined use of GIS, remote sensing, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence creates substantial opportunities to improve spatial assessment, monitor environmental change, optimize resource allocation, and better align green infrastructure with diverse community needs. However, the effective realization of these benefits depends on addressing persistent barriers related to data quality, interoperability, financial capacity, institutional coordination, and governance arrangements. Future research should therefore prioritize standardization frameworks, cross-sector collaboration mechanisms, and adaptive digital platforms that integrate technological precision with human-centered design principles. Through such efforts, cities can strengthen resilient green infrastructure systems that respond to urban dynamics while supporting sustainability, ecological performance, public well-being, and long-term urban livability for all residents.

References

1. J. Cranshaw, R. Schwartz, J. Hong, and N. Sadeh, "The livehoods project: Utilizing social media to understand the dynamics of a city," in *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 58-65, 2012.
2. H. Li and J. Wu, "Use and misuse of landscape indices," *Landscape Ecology*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 389-399, 2004.
3. I. Douglas, "Urban ecology and urban ecosystems: Understanding the links to human health and well-being," *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 385-392, 2012.
4. J. Han, C. S. Choi, W. K. Park, I. Lee, and S. H. Kim, "Smart home energy management system including renewable energy based on ZigBee and PLC," *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 198-202, 2014.
5. M. E. Ong, F. S. Ng, J. Overton, S. Yap, D. Andresen, D. K. Yong, et al., "Geographic-time distribution of ambulance calls in Singapore: utility of geographic information system in ambulance deployment (CARE 3)," *Annals Academy of Medicine Singapore*, vol. 38, no. 3, p. 184, 2009.
6. F. Robinson and K. Shaw, "Urban regeneration and community involvement," *Local Economy*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 61-73, 1991.
7. N. Fagerholm, T. Tanhuanpää, K. Hasanzadeh, A. Kajosaari, T. Rinne, M. Holpainen, and M. Kytä, "Urban green infrastructure and recreational patterns: A 3D geospatial data analysis," *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, vol. 112, p. 128892, 2025.

8. S. Roy, J. Byrne, and C. Pickering, "A systematic quantitative review of urban tree benefits, costs, and assessment methods across cities in different climatic zones," *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 351-363, 2012.
9. A. M. Cucos and L. B. Iantovics, "Comparative study of random forest, gradient boosted trees, feedforward neural networks and convolutional neural networks using fingerprints and molecular descriptors for adverse drug reaction prediction," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 246, pp. 1895-1904, 2024.
10. S. Balram and S. Dragičević, "Attitudes toward urban green spaces: integrating questionnaire survey and collaborative GIS techniques to improve attitude measurements," *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 71, no. 2-4, pp. 147-162, 2005.
11. M. A. Goddard, A. J. Dougill, and T. G. Benton, "Scaling up from gardens: biodiversity conservation in urban environments," *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 90-98, 2010.
12. Y. Fang, X. Zhang, and Y. Cao, "Digital technologies framework and future trends of carbon sequestration research in urban green spaces: a review," *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 22, no. 13, pp. 13277-13296, 2025.
13. R. S. Geary, D. Thompson, A. Mizen, A. Akbari, J. K. Garrett, F. M. Rowney, et al., "Ambient greenness, access to local green spaces, and subsequent mental health: a 10-year longitudinal dynamic panel study of 2 3 million adults in Wales," *The Lancet Planetary Health*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. e809-e818, 2023.
14. X. Chen, Y. Wang, T. Huang, and Z. Lin, "Research on digital experience and satisfaction preference of plant community design in urban green space," *Land*, vol. 11, no. 9, p. 1411, 2022.
15. S. Shekhar and J. Aryal, "Role of geospatial technology in understanding urban green space of Kalaburagi city for sustainable planning," *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, vol. 46, p. 126450, 2019.
16. A. Hu, N. Yabuki, T. Fukuda, H. Kaga, S. Takeda, and K. Matsuo, "Harnessing multiple data sources and emerging technologies for comprehensive urban green space evaluation," *Cities*, vol. 143, p. 104562, 2023.
17. J. Barton and J. Pretty, "What is the best dose of nature and green exercise for improving mental health? A multi-study analysis," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 3947-3955, 2010.
18. H. Bai, Z. Li, H. Guo, H. Chen, and P. Luo, "Urban green space planning based on remote sensing and geographic information systems," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 17, p. 4213, 2022.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Publisher and/or the editor(s). Publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.