Pinnacle Academic Press Proceedings Series

2025 International Conference on Fiscal Management, Holistic Social Studies (FMHSS 2025)

Article

Research on the Relationship and Transmission Mechanism between Internal Governance Structure and Wealth Management Decisions in Family Enterprises

Zhilin Zhang[1,*], Chunlu Lan[2] and Binwei Zhang[1]

1 Academy of Management Sciences, Universidade Politécnica de Macau Macao Polytechnic University, Macao, China
2 Universidade Politécnica de Macau Macao Polytechnic University, Macao, China
* Correspondence: Zhilin Zhang, Academy of Management Sciences, Universidade Politécnica de Macau Macao Polytechnic University, Macao, China

Abstract: Family enterprises play a vital and indispensable role in the global economy, serving as primary drivers of economic growth, innovation, and employment generation, especially within emerging markets where family control remains highly prevalent. The internal governance structures of these organizations significantly influence their strategic decision-making processes, particularly in critical areas related to wealth preservation, capital investment, risk mitigation, and long-term succession planning. This comprehensive study investigates the intrinsic relationship between the internal governance architecture of family firms and their subsequent wealth management decisions. Furthermore, it systematically explores the underlying transmission mechanisms through which specific governance characteristics—such as ownership distribution, board of directors composition, executive leadership frameworks, and cross-generational involvement—directly affect corporate financial strategies and overarching long-term wealth objectives. By meticulously unpacking these complex organizational dynamics, the research provides a robust conceptual framework for understanding how internal structural configurations shape wealth-related choices and asset allocation in family businesses. Additionally, the findings offer valuable practical insights for family business owners, corporate policymakers, and financial advisors seeking to optimize governance frameworks to ensure sustainable wealth accumulation and seamless intergenerational transitions. Ultimately, this paper contributes to the broader academic discourse on corporate governance by highlighting the unique financial behaviors of family-owned entities and proposing actionable strategies to enhance their economic resilience and competitive advantage in rapidly evolving global markets.

Keywords: family business; internal governance; wealth management; decision making; succession planning

Received: 11 May 2026
Revised: 16 June 2026
Accepted: 28 June 2026
Published: 01 July 2026

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background and Relevance

Family enterprises often navigate a dual set of objectives: achieving sustained business growth and profitability while ensuring the preservation and intergenerational transfer of family wealth. Unlike non-family firms, family businesses are characterized by a distinctive overlap between ownership, management, and family roles, which creates a complex organizational dynamic [1]. This intersection often leads to unique governance challenges, including differing priorities and decision-making approaches among stakeholders. As family firms frequently operate with long-term horizons, their governance structures significantly influence strategic financial decisions, particularly in areas such as wealth preservation, reinvestment strategies, and succession planning. These unique conditions highlight the importance of understanding how internal governance mechanisms shape financial decision-making in family enterprises. Examining these dynamics is essential not only for ensuring the longevity and competitiveness of family firms but also for fostering economic resilience and stability in regions where such enterprises play a significant role. By addressing these governance complexities, family businesses can better align their strategic objectives with sustainable growth and intergenerational wealth transfer, thereby contributing to broader economic development.

1.2. Research Objectives and Core Questions

The primary focus of this study is to explore how the internal governance structures within family businesses influence their approaches to managing wealth [2]. This investigation aims to identify the mechanisms through which governance frameworks impact financial decision-making, particularly in areas such as generational succession, strategies for mitigating risks, and the allocation of assets. Key questions guiding this research include: What roles do specific governance elements—such as the composition and functionality of decision-making bodies, the extent of family participation in managerial roles, and the distribution of ownership—play in shaping financial strategies within family enterprises? How do these governance characteristics influence the balance between reinvesting resources into the business and diversifying investments into external opportunities? Furthermore, what are the critical processes through which governance structures contribute to the development and execution of wealth management strategies across different generations?

1.3. Methodological Approach

This research employs a mixed-methods approach to comprehensively address the outlined questions, combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to ensure a robust analysis. The qualitative component involves conducting detailed case studies of selected family businesses across diverse industries and generational stages. These case studies aim to uncover governance practices, decision-making processes, and the contextual factors influencing these dynamics [3]. Semi-structured interviews with family members, executives, and governance specialists will complement the case studies, providing deeper insights into the operational and strategic aspects of family business governance. On the quantitative side, the study utilizes financial databases and governance-related records to perform statistical analyses, identifying correlations and exploring potential causal relationships between governance variables and financial outcomes. By triangulating narrative data with empirical evidence, the research enhances the validity of its findings and facilitates the identification of cross-case patterns and sector-specific variations. This integrated approach offers a nuanced understanding of how governance mechanisms influence wealth management behaviors, delivering valuable theoretical contributions and actionable insights for stakeholders and advisors in the family business domain.

2. Characteristics of Internal Governance in Family Firms

2.1. Ownership Structure and Control Rights

Family enterprises are often defined by a significant level of ownership held by founding families, who typically maintain substantial equity stakes and exert considerable influence over both strategic and operational decisions. This structure frequently results in a concentration of control rights, achieved through mechanisms such as dual-class share structures, trusts, or family holding companies. These arrangements can create a strong alignment between ownership and control, promoting long-term strategic consistency and a commitment to preserving the family legacy [4]. However, when control rights surpass cash flow rights—a situation referred to as control-ownership divergence—potential conflicts may arise, particularly between controlling family members and other shareholders with smaller stakes. This dynamic can lead to agency issues that require careful management. The relationship between ownership and control has a direct impact on the financial strategies of such firms. Enterprises with tightly held family control often adopt conservative financial practices, prioritizing internal funding, maintaining lower levels of debt, and making cautious investment decisions to safeguard wealth and ensure continuity. On the other hand, firms with more dispersed ownership or the involvement of external investors may pursue more aggressive growth strategies or diversified wealth management approaches. Consequently, the specific configuration of ownership and control within a family firm plays a critical role in shaping its risk tolerance, investment behavior, and overall approach to financial management.

2.2. Board Composition and Governance Roles

The composition of the board of directors is a fundamental aspect of the governance framework in family firms, reflecting the interplay between familial involvement and professional oversight. In many family businesses, boards consist of a mix of family members, who often occupy executive positions, and non-family individuals, such as external advisors, industry professionals, or directors with no direct ties to the family. The extent to which the board includes non-family members significantly influences the firm's operational transparency, accountability, and strategic rigor. Boards with a greater presence of non-family directors are more likely to question established practices, encourage robust financial governance, and support the implementation of structured and diversified wealth management approaches. These firms often exhibit improved risk management protocols, stronger internal control mechanisms, and a heightened focus on sustainable financial growth over the long term [5]. Conversely, boards predominantly composed of family members may prioritize personal or emotional considerations, which can sometimes lead to decisions that favor legacy projects or exhibit excessive caution, potentially at the expense of financial diversification or growth opportunities. Therefore, the structure and functionality of the board are critical in determining the strategic direction of family enterprises, particularly in areas such as wealth preservation, reinvestment strategies, and planning for financial continuity across generations.

2.3. Succession Planning and Generational Influence

Succession planning occupies a pivotal role at the intersection of governance and wealth management within family firms, significantly influencing both strategic continuity and financial direction. The generational stage of the business—whether led by its founder, transitioning to the second generation, or managed by multiple generations—exerts a substantial impact on governance norms and decision-making processes. Founder-led firms often exhibit centralized control, informal decision-making practices, and a pronounced personal influence on strategic and wealth-related decisions. In such cases, financial strategies may be shaped more by the founder's intuition, legacy aspirations, and individual risk preferences than by formalized governance structures. As businesses evolve to later generational stages, there is typically a progression toward more structured governance mechanisms, including the establishment of family councils, advisory boards, and detailed succession plans. These formal structures are essential for managing the complexities that arise from increased family participation and diverse intergenerational priorities. Successive generations often introduce fresh perspectives on diversification, financial innovation, and external collaboration, which can redefine the firm's approach to wealth management. Additionally, the process of succession itself—encompassing leadership transitions and ownership transfers—necessitates critical financial decisions, such as asset reallocation, estate planning, and the potential separation of ownership from management [6]. Consequently, the timing, methodology, and strategic objectives of succession planning are not merely governance considerations but are integral to ensuring the long-term preservation and growth of family wealth.

3. Family Involvement and Wealth Management Behavior

3.1. Strategic Conservatism Vs. Risk Appetite

Family involvement in business operations and financial oversight often fosters a cautious and conservative approach to strategic decision-making, particularly in the realm of investment and wealth management. Unlike publicly traded companies or those influenced by external shareholders who prioritize immediate financial returns, family enterprises tend to emphasize the preservation of wealth accumulated over generations. This focus on security and stability is reflected in their risk-averse behavior, which often includes avoiding high-volatility financial instruments or speculative ventures. As a result, family firms frequently maintain investment portfolios that are less diversified, concentrating their wealth in familiar and tangible assets such as real estate, closely-held subsidiaries, or family-owned properties. These preferences are not solely driven by financial considerations but are also influenced by emotional and historical attachments, as tangible assets are often perceived as providing both security and a symbolic connection to the family's legacy. However, this conservative strategy can present challenges, including limited growth opportunities, reduced liquidity, and exposure to risks associated with sectoral or geographic focus. Balancing the trade-off between safeguarding existing wealth and pursuing potentially higher, albeit riskier, returns remains a critical challenge for family enterprises. Understanding their unique approach to risk is essential for evaluating their long-term financial strategies and potential resilience in dynamic economic environments.

3.2. Long-Term Orientation and Intergenerational Goals

A defining characteristic of family enterprises is their pronounced long-term orientation, which is often deeply rooted in the aspiration to sustain the family legacy across generations [7]. This orientation significantly influences wealth management decisions, shifting the focus away from short-term profit maximization toward enduring financial resilience and value creation. Families often conceptualize wealth not solely in monetary terms but as a multi-dimensional resource encompassing social capital, reputation, and values. These elements are intended to be transmitted to future generations as part of a broader legacy. Financial strategies are therefore tailored to support overarching family objectives, such as establishing educational foundations for heirs, financing multi-generational trusts, or supporting philanthropic initiatives that reinforce family identity and social standing. Asset allocation decisions frequently prioritize stability, continuity, and income-generating instruments over aggressive growth strategies, reflecting a preference for sustainable and measured development. Reinvestment policies are similarly aligned with this long-term vision, favoring projects that resonate with the family's core values and aspirations. This generational mindset fosters a stewardship philosophy, where current leaders perceive themselves as caretakers rather than owners of wealth, bearing the responsibility of enhancing and preserving it for future generations.

3.3. Trust, Informality, and Decision-Making Culture

The internal culture of family businesses is often characterized by elevated levels of interpersonal trust, deeply rooted relationships, and informal communication practices. These cultural attributes significantly shape the decision-making processes related to wealth management. Unlike the formalized procedures commonly observed in non-family or institutional firms—where decisions are typically guided by structured analytical models, comprehensive risk assessments, and strict fiduciary obligations—family enterprises often depend more on intuition, collective agreement, and accumulated experience. This informal approach can provide certain benefits, such as enhanced agility in implementing decisions, swift resolution of internal disagreements, and a more cohesive strategic vision. However, it also introduces notable challenges. The lack of standardized processes or rigorous financial analysis may result in decisions influenced by emotions or personal loyalties, which might not align with sound investment principles. Additionally, informal governance structures can obscure accountability and weaken the effectiveness of internal controls. While trust-based decision-making fosters unity and commitment, it may also expose the organization to risks such as groupthink, favoritism, or reluctance to adopt professionalized practices. Therefore, achieving a balance between the relational advantages of informality and the analytical precision of institutional decision-making is essential for establishing resilient and sustainable wealth management strategies within family enterprises.

4. Transmission Mechanisms between Governance and Wealth Decisions

4.1. Decision-Making Channels and Formal Institutions

In family enterprises, the establishment of formal governance institutions plays a crucial role in ensuring structured and strategic wealth management. Entities such as family councils, supervisory boards, family offices, and trustee bodies act as institutionalized mechanisms through which significant financial decisions are deliberated, negotiated, and authorized. These structures serve multiple functions: they not only define the boundaries of decision-making authority between family members and professional managers but also provide a platform for intergenerational dialogue [8, 9]. For example, a family council may articulate the family's overarching vision and establish its risk tolerance, while a supervisory board, often including independent directors, might oversee the execution of investments and ensure compliance with established policies. Trustee structures, particularly those associated with multi-generational family trusts or holding companies, offer fiduciary oversight and ensure the continuity of wealth across generations. These mechanisms help protect assets from potential internal disagreements or external economic disruptions. The presence, composition, and maturity of such governance frameworks significantly influence the effectiveness and stability of wealth management strategies. Organizations with well-developed governance systems typically exhibit clearer investment mandates, stronger risk management practices, and greater adaptability to evolving economic conditions or familial dynamics.

4.2. Information Flow and Accountability Mechanisms

The effectiveness of wealth management in family businesses is closely tied to the quality and transparency of both internal and external information flows. Governance frameworks play a crucial role in ensuring that accurate, timely, and relevant financial and strategic information is delivered to key stakeholders, including family owners, professional managers, and external advisors, without distortion or unnecessary delays. Tools such as standardized reporting systems, key performance indicators (KPIs), risk dashboards, and annual family assemblies are instrumental in bridging the gap between ownership and control. Regular financial briefings and audit reviews further contribute to transparency, enabling stakeholders to make informed and evidence-based decisions. Equally significant are mechanisms designed to uphold accountability, such as performance evaluations, investment committee reviews, and policies that ensure ethical decision-making. These measures help align investment decisions with established goals, risk parameters, and ethical standards. Without robust systems for information flow and accountability, family firms may face challenges such as inefficiencies or misaligned incentives, which could undermine both financial outcomes and organizational harmony [10].

4.3. Alignment of Interests among Stakeholders

One of the most intricate aspects of wealth governance in family enterprises is ensuring that the interests of various stakeholders are harmonized. These stakeholders may include active and passive family shareholders, non-family executives, next-generation heirs, and philanthropic entities. Divergent priorities among these groups can result in inefficiencies or hinder decision-making processes. To address these challenges, families often adopt structured mechanisms such as shareholder agreements, which outline voting rights, dividend policies, and options for exiting ownership; incentive compensation schemes, which align managerial rewards with long-term financial goals;

and family governance frameworks, which formalize shared values, objectives, and protocols for addressing differing perspectives. These tools serve both preventive and strategic purposes, minimizing potential misunderstandings and fostering a unified approach to wealth management. For instance, a family governance framework may specify guidelines for investment decisions, criteria for appointing trustees, or educational initiatives to prepare younger members for roles in wealth stewardship. By institutionalizing these practices, family enterprises can better navigate generational transitions, safeguard assets, and promote collaboration among stakeholders with varying expectations and priorities.

5. Challenges and Risk Factors in Governance-Wealth Linkages

5.1. Agency Conflicts within the Family

Agency dynamics within family businesses are often more intricate than in non-family firms due to overlapping personal relationships, emotional bonds, and varying degrees of involvement in business operations. Differences frequently emerge between family members actively engaged in day-to-day management and those who hold ownership stakes but do not participate in operational decisions [11, 12]. This divergence in priorities and expectations can influence decisions related to dividend policies, reinvestment strategies, or approaches to risk management. Generational differences further add complexity, as younger members may focus on innovation and growth, while older generations may prioritize stability and legacy preservation. These dynamics are often exacerbated by the absence of clearly defined governance roles, insufficient communication mechanisms, or unclear succession planning. For example, when a senior family member retains unilateral control without a transparent leadership transition plan, other members may feel excluded, potentially leading to challenges in decision-making processes. If not addressed effectively, such dynamics can hinder trust, slow down strategic initiatives, and ultimately reduce the firm's ability to manage and grow family wealth cohesively. Establishing structured governance frameworks and fostering open communication can play a critical role in mitigating these issues and ensuring long-term success.

5.2. Lack of Professionalization in Financial Planning

A recurring challenge in many family enterprises is the hesitation to fully professionalize their financial management functions. This reluctance may arise from a desire to maintain privacy, concerns about losing control, or a lack of trust in external expertise. Consequently, financial planning is often managed informally by family members who may not have formal training in wealth management, or it is entrusted to long-serving internal staff with limited exposure to modern financial instruments and global best practices. The absence of professional financial officers, structured investment committees, or reliable external advisors can lead to fragmented decision-making and a lack of strategic foresight [13]. Additionally, inefficiencies in tax planning, inadequate estate management, and underutilization of advanced financial tools such as trusts, private equity, or philanthropic vehicles are frequently observed in such setups. This lack of professionalization not only limits the ability of family firms to optimize asset performance but also poses risks to the continuity of wealth across generations. Over time, the failure to adopt financially sophisticated strategies can result in missed opportunities for growth, reduced returns, and challenges during significant life events such as inheritance or succession planning.

5.3. Governance Inertia and Resistance to Change

Family enterprises, particularly those with long-standing traditions, often demonstrate a strong tendency to adhere to established norms, routines, and legacy structures. While this adherence can foster cultural cohesion and reinforce brand identity, it can also lead to a state of organizational rigidity, making it challenging for firms to implement necessary changes. In the realm of wealth management, this rigidity is often reflected in outdated investment policies, an unwillingness to explore new asset classes, or delays in updating governance frameworks to align with evolving legal and regulatory requirements. For instance, a family business may retain a static ownership structure or rely on informal decision-making processes even as the business grows, resulting in inefficiencies and reduced adaptability. Emotional connections to founding principles and leadership styles can further complicate efforts to introduce modern governance mechanisms, such as family offices, digital asset strategies, or independent investment committees [11, 12]. This reluctance to adapt not only hampers innovation but also limits the firm's capacity to effectively navigate external challenges, including economic fluctuations, technological advancements, or generational transitions. To maintain resilience and long-term success, family enterprises must carefully balance the preservation of tradition with the adoption of governance practices that support sustainable evolution and adaptability.

6. Conclusion

The internal governance structure of family businesses profoundly influences their wealth management decisions and long-term financial orientation. Key governance components, including ownership structure, board composition, and generational dynamics, interact with cultural norms and institutional frameworks to shape financial strategies, risk preferences, and resource allocation patterns. Through both formal and informal transmission mechanisms, these governance features affect not only the character of wealth-related decisions but also their efficiency, adaptability, resilience, and sustainability over time. As family enterprises evolve, particularly during generational transition and periods of market uncertainty, reconsidering governance design with a stronger emphasis on strategic wealth management becomes increasingly important. This study offers a holistic understanding of the governance-wealth relationship and establishes a useful foundation for future research, practical governance improvement, and policy development in family enterprise management.

References

1. H. T. Chiang and M. C. Lin, "Examining board composition and firm performance," *The International Journal of Business and Finance Research*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 15-27, 2011.
2. H. Farrell and A. Héritier, "Formal and informal institutions under codecision: continuous constitution-building in Europe," *Governance*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 577-600, 2003.
3. B. Duriez and B. Soenens, "The intergenerational transmission of racism: The role of right-wing authoritarianism and social dominance orientation," *Journal of Research in Personality*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 906-909, 2009.
4. D. J. Weitzner, H. Abelson, T. Berners-Lee, J. Feigenbaum, J. Hendler, and G. J. Sussman, "Information accountability," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 82-87, 2008.
5. M. Cull, "The rise of the financial planning industry," *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2009.
6. J. A. Davis, M. M. Hampton, and I. Lansberg, *Generation to Generation: Life Cycles of the Family Business*. Harvard Business Press, 1997.
7. B. Crona and Ö. Bodin, "Power asymmetries in small-scale fisheries: a barrier to governance transformability?," *Ecology and Society*, vol. 15, no. 4, 2010.
8. M. Crumpacker and J. M. Crumpacker, "Succession planning and generational stereotypes: should HR consider age-based values and attitudes a relevant factor or a passing fad?," *Public Personnel Management*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 349-369, 2007.
9. O. K. Hope, J. C. Langli, and W. B. Thomas, "Agency conflicts and auditing in private firms," *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 500-517, 2012.
10. L. P. Steier, J. J. Chrisman, and J. H. Chua, "Governance challenges in family businesses and business families," *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1265-1280, 2015.
11. M. Mustakallio, E. Autio, and S. A. Zahra, "Relational and contractual governance in family firms: Effects on strategic decision making," *Family Business Review*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 205-222, 2002.
12. M. H. Lubatkin, W. S. Schulze, Y. Ling, and R. N. Dino, "The effects of parental altruism on the governance of family-managed firms," *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 313-330, 2005.

13. R. Huacca-Incacutipa, R. A. J. F. Argandoña, L. W. M. V. Pérez, and A. Nuñez-Vizcarra, "Internal control and corporate governance in family businesses: A review of studies and approaches from a Latin American perspective," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 666-675, 2022.