

Review

Valuation Logic and VC Investment Strategies for AI Startups: A Knowledge Graph-Based Approach

Julian S. Thorne ¹ and Hao-Ran Chen ^{2,*}

¹ Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, US

² Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

* Correspondence: Hao-Ran Chen, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Abstract: This review paper explores the intersection of valuation logic and venture capital (VC) investment strategies within the domain of Artificial Intelligence (AI) startups, employing a knowledge graph-based approach. Traditional valuation techniques often fall short in capturing the unique characteristics and inherent uncertainties associated with AI ventures, including rapidly evolving technologies, data dependencies, and talent scarcity. A knowledge graph provides a structured framework for integrating diverse data sources, representing complex relationships between entities (e.g., technology, teams, market trends), and facilitating nuanced valuation assessments. The paper synthesizes existing literature on AI startup valuation, VC decision-making, and knowledge graph applications, identifying key valuation drivers and investment criteria. We examine how knowledge graphs can enhance due diligence processes, support dynamic risk assessment, and improve the accuracy of financial projections. Specifically, we investigate applications to forecasting AI's impact on different sectors. We explore the strategic implications for VC firms seeking to capitalize on the growth potential of AI, discussing how they can leverage knowledge graphs to identify promising startups, optimize investment portfolios, and generate superior returns. The review addresses the challenges and limitations of adopting a knowledge graph-based approach, including data quality issues, computational complexity, and the need for specialized expertise. Finally, it highlights future research directions, such as the development of automated valuation models and the integration of explainable AI (XAI) techniques to enhance transparency and trust in VC investment decisions. Ultimately, this review argues that a knowledge graph-based approach offers a powerful tool for navigating the complexities of AI startup valuation and informing more effective VC investment strategies, creating win-win outcomes for investors, entrepreneurs and society.

Received: 19 December 2025

Revised: 09 February 2026

Accepted: 19 February 2026

Published: 22 February 2026

Keywords: AI Startups, Venture Capital, Valuation, Knowledge Graph, Investment Strategy, Due Diligence, Artificial Intelligence



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

1.1. Motivation and Problem Statement

Valuing Artificial Intelligence (AI) startups presents a significant challenge to venture capital (VC) investors. Traditional valuation methods, heavily reliant on historical financial data and comparable company analysis, often fall short due to the nascent stage, rapid technological advancements, and inherent uncertainties associated with AI ventures [1]. Key metrics like revenue or user base may be misleading indicators of future potential, especially when dealing with pre-revenue or early-stage AI companies. The value of an AI startup is intricately linked to its intellectual property, data assets, and the expertise of its team, aspects not easily quantifiable using conventional approaches.

This necessitates a novel valuation framework capable of capturing the complex interplay of factors influencing an AI startup's prospects and mitigating the risks associated with investing in this dynamic sector [2]. The potential for high growth, represented by a variable g , is often offset by high uncertainty, represented by u .

1.2. Knowledge Graph-Based Valuation: A Concise Overview

Knowledge graph-based valuation offers a novel approach to assessing AI startups, moving beyond traditional metrics. By representing interconnected data points related to the startup, such as team expertise, technology maturity, market landscape, and competitive dynamics, a knowledge graph provides a holistic and dynamic valuation framework [3]. This approach allows for capturing complex relationships and dependencies often overlooked by conventional methods. The potential benefits include improved accuracy in valuation, enhanced risk assessment, and the ability to identify undervalued or overvalued startups. This review explores the application of knowledge graphs in AI startup valuation, focusing on methodologies for constructing relevant knowledge graphs and extracting valuation-related insights. We will further consider how these insights can inform VC investment strategies [4].

2. Historical Overview: VC Investment in AI and Valuation Methodologies

2.1. Evolution of VC Investment in AI

The history of venture capital investment in AI can be broadly categorized into distinct waves. An initial wave in the 1980s, fueled by expert systems, saw limited success due to technological constraints and overblown expectations. A resurgence occurred in the late 1990s and early 2000s, driven by advancements in machine learning and data availability, though the dot-com crash tempered enthusiasm. The current wave, starting in the early 2010s, is characterized by deep learning, cloud computing, and big data, attracting unprecedented levels of VC funding [5]. Emerging sectors attracting significant investment include autonomous vehicles, natural language processing, and AI-powered healthcare, reflecting a shift towards practical applications and demonstrable returns. The increasing availability of large datasets and computational power, coupled with algorithmic breakthroughs, continues to drive investor interest and shape the landscape of AI startups. The total investment I grows exponentially with time t , i.e., $I = ae^{bt}$, where a and b are constants (Table 1).

Table 1. VC Investment in AI Startups by Sector (2010-2023).

Sector	Key Drivers	Investment Trend
Autonomous Vehicles	Advancements in sensor technology; Reduced costs of LIDAR and radar; Increasing demand for transportation solutions.	Significant growth; Driven by potential for large-scale disruption and high returns; investment grows exponentially with time t , i.e., $I = ae^{bt}$, where a and b are constants.
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	Breakthroughs in deep learning models (e.g., transformers); Increasing availability of text and speech data; Demand for chatbots, translation services, and content generation.	Substantial increase; Attracting investment across diverse applications and industries; I increases rapidly.
AI-powered Healthcare	Increasing digitization of healthcare data; Advancements in medical imaging and diagnostics; Growing need for personalized medicine and drug discovery.	Strong and steady growth; Driven by the potential to improve patient outcomes and reduce healthcare costs; I depends on t exponentially.

Sector	Key Drivers	Investment Trend
General AI Platforms & Infrastructure	Growth in cloud computing; Increased demand for AI development tools and platforms; Algorithmic breakthroughs.	High level of investment; Essential for enabling AI development across various sectors; I is affected by technology and funding together.

2.2. Traditional Valuation Methodologies and Their Limitations

Traditional valuation methodologies, such as Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) analysis and Comparable Company Analysis (CCA), face significant limitations when applied to AI startups [6]. DCF models rely on projecting future cash flows, which is challenging given the inherent uncertainty surrounding AI technology, market adoption rates, and the long development cycles often involved. Estimating terminal value is also problematic. CCA struggles due to the scarcity of truly comparable companies, as AI startups often possess unique intellectual property and operate in rapidly evolving markets [7]. Furthermore, traditional metrics like revenue multiples may not accurately reflect the potential value creation driven by proprietary algorithms and data assets. The intangible nature of AI, coupled with the difficulty in predicting its future impact, renders these conventional approaches less reliable for valuation purposes [8]. The value of an AI startup is often tied to its potential for future innovation and market disruption, aspects poorly captured by backward-looking financial data (Table 2).

Table 2. Limitations of Traditional Valuation Methods for AI Startups.

Valuation Method	Limitation
Discounted Cash Flow (DCF)	Difficulty projecting future cash flows due to uncertainty in AI technology, market adoption, and long development cycles. Estimating terminal value is also problematic.
Comparable Company Analysis (CCA)	Scarcity of truly comparable companies with similar intellectual property and operating in the same rapidly evolving AI markets.
Revenue Multiples	May not accurately reflect the potential value creation driven by proprietary algorithms and data assets. Ignores the intangible value of AI.
General Limitations	Traditional metrics poorly capture potential for future innovation and market disruption. Backward-looking financial data isn't sufficient. The intangible nature of AI makes valuation challenging.

2.3. Emergence of AI-Specific Valuation Metrics

Traditional valuation struggles with AI startups' intangible assets. Consequently, AI-specific metrics emerged, focusing on data assets (volume, quality), algorithm performance (accuracy, F_1 -score), and the talent pool's depth. Algorithm performance metrics, alongside data quality assessments, often prove most indicative of future potential. The size and expertise of the AI team also strongly influence valuation.

3. Core Theme A: Knowledge Graph Construction and Representation for AI Startup Data

3.1. Data Sources and Extraction for Knowledge Graph Population

To construct a comprehensive knowledge graph for AI startups, diverse data sources are essential. Primary sources include Crunchbase, providing structured data on funding rounds, company profiles, and key personnel. Patent databases, such as the USPTO and EPO, offer insights into the technological innovation and intellectual property landscape of these startups. Academic publications, indexed in databases like Scopus and Web of Science, reveal research outputs and scientific contributions associated with specific

companies or their founders. News articles and industry reports serve as valuable sources of unstructured data, capturing market trends, competitive dynamics, and emerging technologies [9].

Data extraction from these sources presents several challenges. Crunchbase's API offers structured data access, but rate limits and data inconsistencies require careful handling [10]. Patent databases necessitate advanced text mining techniques to extract relevant information from patent descriptions and claims. Academic publications demand natural language processing (NLP) methods to identify entities, relationships, and key concepts. Furthermore, named entity recognition (NER) and entity disambiguation are crucial for accurately linking extracted information to specific AI startups, especially when dealing with variations in company names or ambiguous mentions. The extracted data must then be transformed and loaded into a suitable knowledge graph representation, addressing issues of data heterogeneity and semantic alignment (Table 3).

Table 3. Key Data Sources for AI Startup Knowledge Graph.

Data Source	Description	Challenges
Crunchbase	Structured data on funding rounds, company profiles, and key personnel.	API rate limits, data inconsistencies.
Patent Databases (USPTO, EPO)	Insights into technological innovation and intellectual property.	Advanced text mining required for patent descriptions and claims.
Academic Publications (Scopus, Web of Science)	Research outputs and scientific contributions.	Natural language processing (NLP) needed for entity and relationship extraction.
News Articles & Industry Reports	Unstructured data on market trends, competitive dynamics, and emerging technologies.	Requires NLP, named entity recognition (NER), and entity disambiguation for accurate linking.

3.2. Knowledge Graph Structure and Ontology Design

The knowledge graph (KG) underpinning our valuation framework is structured as a heterogeneous graph, comprising diverse entity types and relationships that capture the complex ecosystem of AI startups [11]. The primary entity types include: Startups, representing individual AI companies; Technologies, denoting the specific AI and related technologies employed (e.g., Natural Language Processing, Computer Vision, Deep Learning); Investors, encompassing venture capital firms, angel investors, and corporate venture arms; People, representing key personnel like founders and executives; Markets, defining the target industries and applications; and Funding Rounds, detailing investment events with associated valuations and amounts.

Relationships between these entities are crucial for representing the intricate connections within the AI startup landscape. Key relationship types include: *Invests_in*, linking Investors to Startups and Funding Rounds; *Develops*, connecting Startups to Technologies; *Operates_in*, associating Startups with Markets; *Founded_by* and *Led_by*, linking Startups to People; *Collaborates_with*, representing partnerships between Startups or between Startups and established companies; and *Competes_with*, indicating competitive relationships between Startups [12].

Ontology design follows established principles of clarity, coherence, and extensibility. We adopt a modular approach, defining core concepts and relationships first, then progressively refining the ontology with more specific subtypes and properties. For example, the *Technology* entity can be further specialized into subtypes like *Machine Learning*, *Robotics*, and *AI Hardware*. Properties such as funding amount (*F*), valuation (*V*), and technology readiness level (*TRL*) are associated with relevant entities and

relationships, enabling quantitative analysis within the KG. The ontology is designed to be easily updated and expanded as new AI technologies and market trends emerge (Table 4).

Table 4. Example Entities and Relationships in AI Startup Knowledge Graph.

Entity Type	Description	Example
Startups	Individual AI companies	OpenAI, DeepMind
Technologies	AI and related technologies employed	Natural Language Processing, Computer Vision, Deep Learning
Investors	Venture capital firms, angel investors, corporate venture arms	Sequoia Capital, Andreessen Horowitz, Google Ventures
People	Key personnel like founders and executives	Sam Altman, Demis Hassabis
Markets	Target industries and applications	Healthcare, Finance, Automotive
Funding Rounds	Investment events with valuations and amounts	Series A, Seed Round
Relationship Type	Description	Example
<i>Invests_in</i>	Links Investors to Startups and Funding Rounds	Sequoia Capital <i>Invests_in</i> OpenAI
<i>Develops</i>	Connects Startups to Technologies	OpenAI <i>Develops</i> Natural Language Processing
<i>Operates_in</i>	Associates Startups with Markets	DeepMind <i>Operates_in</i> Healthcare
<i>Founded_by</i>	Links Startups to People	OpenAI <i>Founded_by</i> Sam Altman
<i>Led_by</i>	Links Startups to People	Demis Hassabis <i>Led_by</i> DeepMind
<i>Collaborates_with</i>	Represents partnerships between Startups or Startups and established companies	Startup A <i>Collaborates_with</i> Google
<i>Competes_with</i>	Indicates competitive relationships between Startups	Startup X <i>Competes_with</i> Startup Y
Properties	Description	Example
F	Funding amount	$F = \$100M$
$\$V$ <i>Valuation</i> $V = 1B$		
<i>TRL</i>	Technology Readiness Level	<i>TRL = 7</i>

3.3. Knowledge Graph Embedding Techniques

Knowledge graph embedding (KGE) techniques aim to represent entities and relationships within a knowledge graph as low-dimensional vectors, preserving the graph's inherent structure [13]. These embeddings facilitate various downstream tasks, including link prediction and node classification, and are particularly relevant for AI startup valuation. Several prominent KGE models exist, each with its strengths and weaknesses. TransE, for instance, models relationships as translations in the embedding space, where the embedding of the head entity plus the relation embedding should be close to the tail entity embedding, expressed as $h + r \approx t$. However, TransE struggles with complex relationships like one-to-many. Other models, such as DistMult and ComplEx, employ more sophisticated scoring functions to handle such complexities. DistMult uses a bilinear scoring function, while ComplEx introduces complex-valued embeddings. Furthermore, graph neural networks (GNNs) like Graph Convolutional

Networks (GCNs) can be adapted for KGE, learning node embeddings by aggregating information from their neighbors. The choice of KGE technique depends on the specific characteristics of the knowledge graph and the requirements of the valuation task. For example, if the graph contains many hierarchical relationships, models that explicitly capture hierarchy might be preferred. The generated embeddings can then be used as features in machine learning models for predicting startup valuation metrics.

4. Core Theme B: Valuation Logic and VC Investment Strategies

4.1. Knowledge Graph-Enhanced Due Diligence

Knowledge graphs offer a transformative approach to due diligence for AI startups, addressing the inherent complexity and opacity often associated with these ventures. By constructing a knowledge graph, investors can create a comprehensive, interconnected representation of the startup's ecosystem. This includes mapping relationships between the founding team, their prior ventures, relevant academic research, patents, and industry experts. The graph structure facilitates the identification of potential red flags, such as undisclosed conflicts of interest or weaknesses in the team's expertise [14].

Furthermore, knowledge graphs provide a powerful lens for analyzing the competitive landscape [15]. By incorporating data on competing AI solutions, market trends, and funding rounds, investors can assess the startup's competitive positioning and identify potential market saturation or disruptive threats. The technology itself can be rigorously evaluated by linking it to relevant scientific literature, benchmark datasets, and open-source code repositories. This allows for a more informed assessment of the AI model's performance, scalability, and potential for bias. Ultimately, the knowledge graph-enhanced due diligence process enables VCs to make more data-driven investment decisions, mitigating risk and maximizing the likelihood of successful outcomes. The interconnected nature of the graph allows for efficient querying and analysis, revealing insights that would be difficult or impossible to uncover through traditional due diligence methods.

4.2. Dynamic Risk Assessment and Scenario Planning

Knowledge graphs offer a powerful framework for dynamic risk assessment in AI startup valuation. By integrating real-time data feeds, market trends, and expert opinions, the knowledge graph can identify potential risks and opportunities that traditional static valuation models often miss. The graph structure allows for the representation of complex relationships between various risk factors, such as technological obsolescence, regulatory changes, and competitive pressures.

For example, the knowledge graph can track the development of competing AI technologies. If a new, superior technology emerges, the graph can automatically flag the potential risk of reduced market share for the target AI startup. Similarly, by monitoring regulatory changes related to AI ethics and data privacy, the graph can assess the potential impact on the startup's operations and compliance costs.

Risk mitigation techniques can also be integrated into the knowledge graph. For instance, if the graph identifies a high risk of data breaches, it can suggest implementing enhanced cybersecurity measures or diversifying data sources. The impact of these mitigation strategies on the startup's valuation can be simulated by adjusting the relevant nodes and edges in the graph. This dynamic approach allows VCs to proactively manage risks and make more informed investment decisions. Furthermore, scenario planning can be facilitated by simulating different market conditions and assessing their impact on the startup's performance, providing a more robust valuation framework. The risk score R_s of a startup can be dynamically updated based on new information from the knowledge graph.

4.3. Predictive Analytics for Financial Projections

Predictive analytics plays a crucial role in venture capital (VC) investment, particularly for AI startups where future performance is highly uncertain. Traditional

financial projections often rely on historical data and linear growth assumptions, which may be inadequate for capturing the complexities of AI technology adoption and market dynamics. Knowledge graphs offer a powerful alternative by integrating diverse data sources, including market reports, technology trends, competitor analysis, and expert opinions.

By representing entities and relationships within the AI ecosystem, knowledge graphs enable more sophisticated predictive models. For example, the adoption rate of a specific AI technology (a) can be modeled as a function of factors such as its perceived benefit (b), cost (c), and network effects (n), represented as $a = f(b, c, n)$. Furthermore, the knowledge graph can incorporate market size (m) and competitive intensity (i) to refine revenue projections. This approach allows for scenario analysis, stress-testing financial models against different assumptions, and ultimately, more informed VC investment decisions. The interconnected nature of the knowledge graph facilitates the propagation of uncertainty and the identification of key risk factors that might be overlooked by traditional methods.

5. Comparison & Challenges

5.1. Comparison of Knowledge Graph-Based Valuation with Other Methods

Knowledge graph-based valuation offers distinct advantages over traditional methods. Unlike the Venture Capital (VC) method, which relies heavily on comparable transactions and terminal value estimations, the knowledge graph captures intricate relationships between various factors influencing an AI startup's value, such as technological capabilities, market dynamics, and team expertise. This provides a more granular and dynamic valuation compared to the VC method's often simplistic multiples-based approach.

Compared to Real Options Analysis (ROA), which models investment opportunities as options, the knowledge graph provides a more holistic view by integrating diverse data sources. While ROA excels at valuing flexibility and uncertainty, it can be computationally intensive and require precise estimation of underlying parameters like volatility (σ). The knowledge graph, conversely, leverages readily available data and machine learning techniques to infer these parameters and adapt to evolving market conditions, offering a potentially more scalable and data-driven approach, though it may lack the theoretical rigor of ROA in specific scenarios. However, knowledge graph construction and maintenance can be complex and require specialized expertise [16].

5.2. Challenges and Limitations

Implementing a knowledge graph-based valuation approach for AI startups presents several challenges and limitations. Data quality is paramount; the accuracy and completeness of information within the knowledge graph directly impact valuation accuracy. Inaccurate or missing data regarding market trends, competitor analysis, or technological capabilities can lead to flawed valuations. Furthermore, constructing and maintaining a comprehensive knowledge graph requires significant effort in data collection, cleaning, and integration from diverse sources.

Computational complexity is another concern. As the size and interconnectedness of the knowledge graph grow, the computational resources required for analysis and inference increase exponentially. Algorithms for pathfinding, similarity analysis, and valuation modeling can become computationally expensive, especially when dealing with large-scale graphs and complex relationships. This necessitates efficient graph database technologies and optimization techniques.

Finally, specialized expertise is crucial. Building and utilizing a knowledge graph for valuation requires a multidisciplinary team with expertise in knowledge representation, graph algorithms, AI, finance, and the specific industry of the AI startup. The scarcity of professionals with this combined skillset poses a significant hurdle to widespread adoption. The cost, denoted as C , of acquiring such expertise can be a limiting factor, especially for smaller VC firms.

6. Future Perspectives

6.1. Automated Valuation Models

The confluence of knowledge graphs and machine learning presents a promising avenue for automated valuation models (AVMs) tailored for AI startups. By representing market data, startup characteristics, and expert opinions within a knowledge graph, we can leverage graph neural networks to identify complex relationships and predict valuation multiples. Machine learning algorithms, trained on this knowledge graph data, can then estimate pre-money valuation (V_{pre}) based on input features such as revenue (R), growth rate (g), and technological readiness level (TRL). Furthermore, continuous learning and adaptation, facilitated by real-time data integration into the knowledge graph, will enhance the accuracy and robustness of these AVMs over time, offering a scalable and data-driven approach to AI startup valuation.

6.2. Integration with XAI

Integrating Explainable AI (XAI) offers significant potential to enhance the transparency and trustworthiness of AI startup valuation models. Future research should explore incorporating XAI techniques to elucidate the reasoning behind valuation predictions derived from knowledge graphs. Specifically, methods like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) can be adapted to identify the key knowledge graph features and relationships influencing valuation outcomes. This would allow VCs to understand *why* a particular valuation is suggested, fostering greater confidence in the model's output. Furthermore, XAI can help identify potential biases or limitations within the knowledge graph and valuation algorithms, leading to more robust and equitable investment decisions. Exploring counterfactual explanations, showing how altering specific inputs (e.g., market size, team experience) impacts the valuation, can also provide valuable insights.

7. Conclusion

This review highlights the critical role of knowledge graphs in understanding the complex valuation landscape of AI startups. Our analysis reveals that traditional valuation methods often fail to capture the intangible assets and future potential inherent in AI technologies. Specifically, the knowledge graph approach allows for a more nuanced assessment of factors like data quality, algorithm sophistication (A), and team expertise (E), ultimately impacting predicted revenue (R). For VC investors, this implies a need to move beyond conventional metrics and embrace knowledge graph-driven due diligence. AI startup founders should prioritize building and showcasing their knowledge assets to attract investment and justify higher valuations.

References

1. B. Montanaro, A. Croce, and E. Ughetto, "Venture capital investments in artificial intelligence," *J. Evolutionary Economics*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 1-28, 2024.
2. C. L. Cheong, "Study on Risk Assessment Methods and Multi-Dimensional Control Mechanisms in AI Systems", *European Journal of AI, Computing & Informatics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 31-46, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.71222/58dr7v22.
3. S. Röhm, M. Bick, and M. Boeckle, "The impact of artificial intelligence on the investment decision process in venture capital firms," in *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, Cham, Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 420-435.
4. J. de Klerk, "Artificial Intelligence in Venture Capital."
5. I. Besso, "The Determinants of Venture Capital investments in Artificial Intelligence start-ups: landscape, investors' and investees' profiles," Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino, 2022.
6. S. Yuan, "Conceptual Modeling and Semantic Relations in the Construction of Financial Knowledge Graphs", *Economics and Management Innovation*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 64-70, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.71222/evj1tt66.
7. I. Rossi, "The role of AI in accelerating venture capital decision-making," *Digital Transformation and Administration Innovation*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 46-54, 2023.
8. G. Perazzo, E. U. Petrocco, R. P. Dameri, and A. Sgorbissa, "AI in Venture Capital: Investment Decision-Making and No Cap Case Study," 2025.

9. J. L. Zhao, "Graph-based deep dive on AI startup revenue composition and venture capital network effect," *Economics and Management Innovation*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 27-36, 2026.
10. J. BR and Y. SJ, "Trends and Implications of Venture Capital Investment in the Artificial Intelligence Industry," *Electronics and Telecommunications Trends*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1-10, 2022.
11. C. L. Cheong, "Research on AI Security Strategies and Practical Approaches for Risk Management", *Journal of Computer, Signal, and System Research*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 98–115, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.71222/17ggja14.
12. M. Bonelli, "The adoption of artificial intelligence by venture capitalists," 2022.
13. T. Turek, "Prevailing trends in venture capital funding for startups in the artificial intelligence sector," 2025.
14. S. Lee and T. Jung, "Analysis and Forecast of Venture Capital Investment on Generative AI Startups: Focusing on the US and South Korea," *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Venturing and Entrepreneurship*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 21-35, 2023.
15. C. Perrone, "Drivers of Venture Capitalist's Investments in Artificial Intelligence," Doctoral dissertation, Politecnico di Torino, 2020.
16. J. Wang, "A Literature Review of Enterprise Strategic Management in the Context of Digital Transformation", *Economics and Management Innovation*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 71–78, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.71222/5wmnnj82.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The views, opinions, and data expressed in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the publisher and/or the editor(s). The publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.