### European Journal of AI, Computing & Informatics

Vol. 1 No. 2 2025



Article Open Access

# AI-Based Enterprise Notification Systems and Optimization Strategies for User Interaction

Qianru Xu 1,\*





2025 : ISSN 653-6566

Received: 10 July 2025 Revised: 18 July 2025 Accepted: 30 July 2025 Published: 02 August 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

- <sup>1</sup> Independent Researcher, Mountain View, CA, 94040, USA
- \* Correspondence: Qianru Xu, Independent Researcher, Mountain View, CA, 94040, USA

**Abstract:** In modern enterprises, notification systems play an important role as key tools for information exchange and user interaction. However, the current notification system faces various challenges such as data confidentiality, security protection, message quality, development costs, and technical difficulties. The introduction of artificial intelligence (AI) technology has brought new solutions to these problems. For example, AI can enhance data confidentiality, use deep reinforcement learning to improve content distribution, utilize cloud computing to reduce development costs, and incorporate fairness principles into model training, thereby improving the performance and user satisfaction of notification systems. This study explores the problems existing in current notification systems and proposes targeted improvement solutions based on AI technology, providing theoretical support and practical guidance for enterprises to create efficient, secure, and highly intelligent notification systems.

**Keywords:** enterprise level notification system; artificial intelligence; user interaction; data privacy; content optimization

#### 1. Introduction

Driven by the wave of digital transformation, enterprise-level notification systems have gradually become a bridge for communication among enterprises. Through precise information transmission, they have improved management efficiency and optimized user interaction experience. The rapid development of artificial intelligence technology has brought new opportunities for the intelligence and personalization of enterprise notification systems, but it has also sparked discussions about algorithmic bias and fairness. Given this, how to use artificial intelligence technology to enhance the user experience of enterprise level notification systems has become a key topic worth exploring. This article analyzes the current problems in enterprise notification systems and proposes improvement solutions based on artificial intelligence.

#### 2. Overview of Enterprise level Notification System

The electronic platform for communication between enterprises and users, namely the enterprise level notification system, mainly uses various channels such as email, SMS, pop-up prompts, or software internal messages to deliver information to specific audiences [1]. With the acceleration of enterprise digitalization, this system plays a key role in routine business, customer service, promotional activities, and internal communication. At present, the core concepts pursued by such notification systems are precision, imme-

diacy, and efficiency. By deeply analyzing user behavior and preferences, customized information can be delivered in real-time. It improves the accuracy of information dissemination and enhances user experience, helping to increase user retention and conversion rates. In the e-commerce industry, notification systems can quickly convey the progress of promotional activities or orders. In the financial industry, companies send transaction alerts or account information changes through this system. Thanks to advances in big data, cloud technology, and artificial intelligence, enterprise-level notification systems are gradually transitioning from the traditional single-push notification model to a dynamic and intelligent new model.

## 3. Problems in the Interaction between Enterprise Level Notification Systems and Users

#### 3.1. Data Privacy and Security

Privacy and security issues are particularly prominent when processing and collecting user information in enterprise-level notification systems. This type of system relies on user behavior habits and private information to achieve customized services, including but not limited to sensitive information such as user internet behavior, consumption records, geographic location, etc. Once this information is stolen by illegal individuals during storage or transmission, the user's personal privacy may be violated and property damage may occur. Due to loopholes in data management, some enterprises have failed to effectively encrypt their data or establish strict access control mechanisms, which may allow internal personnel to abuse this data or allow external hackers to infiltrate systems easily. When it comes to cross-border data transmission, companies will face more complex legal challenges due to the varying legal and compliance requirements for data security in different countries. In addition, data sharing with third-party service providers also carries risks. If the third party fails to comply with data protection responsibilities or encounters security vulnerabilities, it can also lead to data breaches involving enterprise users' information [2].

#### 3.2. Poor Quality of Push Content

The notification mechanism of many enterprises has exposed obvious deficiencies in content production and distribution strategies, with notification content lacking specificity. Although current technologies can perform basic analyses based on user data, the output information often lacks diversity or does not meet the actual needs of users due to insufficient data fusion and algorithm limitations. This situation will reduce users' attention to notifications and may also be seen as harassing information, which has a negative impact on the brand image [3]. The timeliness of notifications is also weak, and some merchants fail to accurately grasp users' active periods or consider the time-critical nature of information, resulting in notifications being delivered at inappropriate times and losing their due value. For example, notifications for certain activities that are only sent after the end of the event have lost their meaning. There are also issues with the expression of notifications, as many notification messages are unclear, overwhelming, or repetitive, making it difficult for users to quickly grasp the core points. Excessive and low-quality notification pushing is more likely to annoy users, who may ultimately choose to turn off notifications or uninstall applications.

#### 3.3. System Development Costs and Technical Complexity

Developing and maintaining an enterprise-level notification system requires substantial resources, with high research and development costs and technological difficulties becoming major obstacles faced by enterprises. The system architecture designed must have a high level of technical sophistication, and an efficient notification system should be compatible with multiple notification channels, such as email, SMS, in-app mes-

sages, and more. This requires companies to build a platform with strong integration capabilities, ensuring smooth switching between various notification channels and providing users with a consistent experience. In terms of data processing, the system also faces extremely high requirements. Modern notification systems need to be able to instantly process and analyze large amounts of user data to achieve highly personalized message push. This process involves many technical fields such as distributed computing, big data technology, and natural language understanding, making system development more complex. In addition, the development of notification systems also requires the use of various technical tools and resources, such as integrating artificial intelligence models, improving algorithm efficiency, and ensuring the performance and stability of the system under high loads, all of which pose severe challenges to the technical strength of the development team [4].

#### 3.4. Model Bias and Fairness Issues

In the process of applying artificial intelligence technology to optimize user interaction in enterprise notification systems, the issues of model bias and fairness are becoming increasingly apparent. The unfairness of data is the core factor leading to model bias [5]. The notification system relies on past data when training the model, but this data may contain bias due to the unevenness of the collection method or sample. During model training, algorithmic bias may exacerbate this problem. Some AI algorithms may prioritize short-term gains when pursuing optimization goals, while ignoring the long-term satisfaction of users or the diversity of content. For example, the recommended information may be overly focused on individual fields, resulting in users receiving too limited information, which can have a negative impact on the fairness of the system. Personalized content push may lead to implicit unfair treatment, and the system may push content of varying quality based on users' geographic location, consumption level, or historical behavior. Due to the opaque nature of the model, it is difficult for enterprises to explain the reasoning behind push decisions to users. This lack of transparency can pose potential risks of non-compliance with legal regulations in areas with stricter rules, such as finance and healthcare.

## 4. AI Based Enterprise Level Notification System and User Interaction Optimization Strat-Egy

#### 4.1. Strengthen Data Privacy Protection

Ensuring user data security is a core element in enhancing the interactive experience when building an AI-centric enterprise notification system. Such platforms typically require the management and analysis of numerous user profiles, such as personally identifiable information, user behavior records, and personal preferences. If such information is leaked or improperly used, it will not only seriously violate user privacy, but may also have a negative impact on the company's brand image [6]. Therefore, strengthening data confidentiality measures is not only a legal requirement, but also a key way to enhance user trust and ensure platform security. In the data collection stage, the platform should follow the data minimization principle to ensure that only the information absolutely necessary to complete specific functions is collected. In the process of secure storage and transmission of data, tiered confidentiality measures must be implemented. For example, in the data storage stage, The AES algorithm can be used to encrypt key information, and the TLS protocol can be applied during data transmission to prevent data interception by unauthorized third parties. Differential privacy technology should be adopted in the system development process to ensure that the conclusions of statistical analysis do not expose the privacy of any individual. The core formula in differential privacy technology is:

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \ln \frac{\Pr[M(D) \in S]}{\Pr[M(D') \in S]} \tag{1}$$

In formula (1), M represents the algorithm, D and D 'represent datasets with only one record difference, S is a set of results output by the algorithm, and  $\epsilon$  represents the privacy budget. The smaller the value, the stronger the privacy protection. In a user notification system, differential privacy techniques can be used to introduce random interference in order to analyze the proportion of user responses to notifications of a certain category. For example, in a real environment, if 1000 users click, the statistical data obtained after adjusting the differential privacy algorithm may be 1003 or 997. Although there may be some errors, this method can obfuscate users' click activities and prevent them from being accurately tracked. By adopting this strategy, enterprise-level notification systems can find a balance between maintaining data confidentiality and improving user experience, creating a more secure interactive environment for users while enhancing users' trust in enterprise services.

#### 4.2. Apply Reinforcement Learning to Optimize Push Content

The enterprise-level notification system built with artificial intelligence technology adopts reinforcement learning to enhance the intelligence of information pushing. Reinforcement learning transforms the process of selecting and pushing information into a continuous sequence of decisions by simulating the interaction between the system and the user [7]. It can continuously optimize its decision-making rules based on user response, achieving customized and accurate information transmission. Within this framework, the fundamental elements of reinforcement learning cover three key dimensions: state, action, and feedback. The user's behavior records and real-time environmental factors constitute the state, and the various contents or topics sent by the system to the user based on this state are defined as behaviors. User feedback is used as reward feedback. Using reinforcement learning, the system automatically adjusts the information pushing strategy based on the continuous participation and satisfaction of users. Deep reinforcement learning combines the expressive power of neural networks with the decision-making mechanism of traditional reinforcement learning. In the application scenarios of enterprise message notifications, widely used algorithms include deep Q-networks and policy gradient-based methods. These algorithms can find the best behavior strategy in complex high-dimensional environments and customize personalized information for users. If a user displays a preference for a certain type of notification for a long time, the system will not only continue to provide such content, but also try to introduce diverse recommendations related to it to enrich the user's range of interests. The core formula for strategy optimization in reinforcement learning is:

$$Q(s,a) = R(s,a) + \gamma \cdot \max_{a} Q(s',a')$$
(2)

In formula (2), Q (s, a) represents the expected return that can be obtained by taking action a in state s. R (s, a) is the immediate reward,  $\gamma$  is the discount factor, representing the weight of future rewards. S' is the next state after executing the action. For example, in the notification system, the user's historical preference is state s, and the system sends a "discount notification" as action a. The user clicks on the notification to receive a reward R (s, a)=+5. If the user subsequently purchases goods on the website, the system will record additional rewards and update the strategy function Q (s, a). After repeated optimization, the system has effectively mastered the ability to prioritize displaying the user's preferred content. By utilizing reinforcement learning strategies, content relevance has been improved, and real-time adjustments can be made to enhance user engagement and communication quality, thereby improving the overall operational efficiency of enterprise notification systems [8].

#### 4.3. Utilizing Cloud Services to Reduce Development Costs

In the process of building enterprise notification solutions with AI as the core technology, adopting cloud computing services can significantly reduce research and development expenses, and enhance system adaptability and upgradability. The conventional

enterprise notification solution involves huge hardware infrastructure costs, tedious software installation, and maintenance processes. These factors pose significant challenges to the company's financial budget and technical capabilities. Relatively speaking, with the help of cloud computing services, companies can quickly build an efficient and scalable notification system with less start-up capital. Cloud services enable flexible configuration of computing, storage, and network resources, eliminating the need for developers to purchase expensive hardware infrastructure in advance or reserve resources for extreme loads. By adopting a usage-based billing system, the company only needs to pay fees based on the actual resources consumed, avoiding resource waste. Cloud service platforms generally support automatic scaling, which increases computing power when the number of users increases dramatically and reduces resource allocation when the number of users decreases. This improves efficiency and further reduces operating costs [9].

In artificial intelligence notification systems, cloud computing services demonstrate significant advantages. For example, personalized notification content often relies on massive computing resources to perform real-time data processing and model tuning. By relying on cloud computing services, enterprises can leverage their powerful distributed computing capabilities to quickly build and upgrade recommendation algorithms. The intelligent toolkit and pre-trained models provided by numerous cloud service providers greatly reduce the time spent by R&D teams on algorithm development and adjustment. In the process of data storage and transmission, cloud services provide excellent security and compliance support, which is particularly crucial for industries that must strictly comply with relevant regulations such as GDPR. These platforms are typically equipped with multi-level encryption and access control mechanisms, ensuring that enterprises can save development costs while also safeguarding data security. The following is an example of cost comparison between cloud computing service development model and traditional self built model:

As shown in Table 1, adopting the traditional self-built model often requires a significant upfront hardware purchase cost. In contrast, cloud services rely on their flexible resource allocation strategies, significantly reducing the economic burden on enterprises. At the same time, the reduction in operational and maintenance personnel costs and software licensing fees also makes the overall cost-effectiveness more prominent.

project	Traditional self built methods	Cloud service model	Savings ratio
Hardware procurement cost	\$150,000	\$0(Use as needed)	100%
Calculate the cost of resource utilization	\$50,000/year	\$10,000/year	80%
Maintenance and operation labor costs	\$30,000/year	\$5,000/year	83%
Software license and tool fees	\$20,000/year	\$3,000/year	85%
total cost	\$250,000	\$18,000/year	92%

**Table 1.** Cost comparison between cloud service development and traditional self built methods.

#### 4.4. Introducing Fairness Constraints in Model Training to Reduce Bias

Ensuring fairness during algorithm training is crucial when fine-tuning the user experience of enterprise notification systems. The initial step in implementing fairness constraints is to establish standards for measuring fairness, such as balance, equal opportunity, or fair treatment of individuals. In the specific operation process, these standards help measure the behavioral differences of the model towards different user groups and set improvement goals. In the model training phase, the goal is usually reinforced by adding constraints. For example, embedding a fairness adjustment term in the loss function allows the model to pursue primary objectives such as accuracy and recall while also controlling for bias. Taking multi-class classification problems as an example, the difference in decision distribution between categories can be included as a moderating factor. This method adjusts the sampling or weight allocation of training samples to make the model

more focused on underrepresented groups in the dataset, in order to achieve a balanced user experience. To prevent excessive emphasis on fairness from negatively affecting the overall performance of the model, an adaptive constraint strategy can be implemented, allowing the model to flexibly adjust weights within certain limits and find a balance between performance and fairness. The loss function is L=Ltask+ $\lambda$  · Lfairness, where Ltask is the loss of the main task (such as cross entropy), Lfairness is the fairness loss, and  $\lambda$  is the trade-off parameter. Specifically, the fairness loss L fairness can be defined by a bias metric function, such as:

$$Lfairness = \sum_{g \in G} |Ex \sim g[f(x)] - Ex \sim D[f(x)]|$$
(3)

In formula (3), G represents the set of user groups, f(x) is the model output, and D is the overall data distribution. This formula calculates the expected deviation of different groups, dynamically adjusts the model weights to reduce deviation, and optimizes user interaction experience.

#### 5. Conclusion

In the operation of enterprises, enterprise-level notification systems have become key components of infrastructure. However, their shortcomings in data confidentiality, accuracy of notification content, and technical implementation difficulty have affected the maximization of their effectiveness. Integrating artificial intelligence technology can enhance the user experience of notification systems from multiple dimensions. For example, improving data confidentiality not only increases user trust, but also ensures that the system complies with regulations; Using deep reinforcement learning to improve content pushing can enhance customization and user satisfaction. Using cloud computing services can reduce development costs and difficulties, alleviating the technological pressure on enterprises. Incorporating fairness constraints during model training can help reduce algorithm bias and promote fair use of the system. These improvement measures not only point out the direction for the intelligent upgrade of enterprise-level notification systems, but also lay a solid foundation for subsequent research and application.

#### References

- 1. A. Chaurasia, "Algorithmic precision medicine: Harnessing artificial intelligence for healthcare optimization," *Asian J. Biotechnol. Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 28–43, 2023.
- 2. L. S. Lo, "AI policies across the globe: Implications and recommendations for libraries," *IFLA J.*, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 645–649, 2023, doi: 10.1177/03400352231196172.
- 3. Y. D. Ozkan-Ozen, et al., "Risks of data-driven technologies in sustainable supply chain management," *Manag. Environ. Qual.: Int. J.*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 926–942, 2023, doi: 10.1108/MEQ-03-2022-0051.
- 4. S. Yang, "The Impact of Continuous Integration and Continuous Delivery on Software Development Efficiency", J. Comput. Signal Syst. Res., vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 59–68, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.71222/pzvfqm21.
- 5. J. R. Holm, et al., "Innovation dynamics in the age of artificial intelligence: introduction to the special issue," *Ind. Innov.*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1141–1155, 2023, doi: 10.1080/13662716.2023.2272724.
- 6. L. Fayos De Arizón, et al., "Artificial intelligence: a new field of knowledge for nephrologists?," *Clin. Kidney J.*, vol. 16, no. 12, pp. 2314–2326, 2023, doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfad182.
- 7. A. J. Nashwan, A. A. AbuJaber, and A. AbuJaber, "Harnessing the power of large language models (LLMs) for electronic health records (EHRs) optimization," *Cureus*, vol. 15, no. 7, 2023, doi: 10.7759/cureus.42634.
- 8. F. Gao, "The Role of Data Analytics in Enhancing Digital Platform User Engagement and Retention", J. Media Journal. Commun. Stud., vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 10–17, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.71222/z27xzp64.
- 9. G. S. Day, "Closing the marketing capabilities gap," J. Mark., vol. 75, no. 4, pp. 183–195, 2011, doi: 10.1509/jmkg.75.4.183.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The views, opinions, and data expressed in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of PAP and/or the editor(s). PAP and/or the editor(s) disclaim any responsibility for any injury to individuals or damage to property arising from the ideas, methods, instructions, or products mentioned in the content.