Pinnacle Academic Press Proceedings Series

Vol. 1 2025

Article Open Access

Applications of the Counterfactual Method to Problems in Number Theory

Weisi Tang [1,*] and Yunkun Song [2]

[1] Chengdu Foreign Language School, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610000, China
[2] Sendelta International Academy, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518129, China
[*] Correspondence: Weisi Tang, Chengdu Foreign Language School, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610000, China

Received: 09 March 2025
Revised: 17 March 2025
Accepted: 11 April 2025
Published: 15 April 2025

Abstract: The counterfactual method, which involves assuming the negation of a given proposition and deducing logical contradictions, serves as a powerful tool in solving problems in number theory. By carefully identifying and analyzing false assumptions, this method demands rigorous logical reasoning and strict avoidance of circular arguments. Its application not only helps verify the validity of mathematical statements but also fosters deeper insight into the structure of numerical relationships. Moreover, the method sharpens one's ability to think critically and systematically, thereby contributing to both theoretical advancements and the cultivation of mathematical thinking.

Keywords: inverse method; number theoretic problems; applied analysis

1. Introduction

Number theory captivates many with its unique charm. The counterfactual method, an ancient logical tool, excels in solving number theory puzzles [1]. It avoids direct proofs and instead proves propositions by showing their negations lead to contradictions.

2. The Basic Concepts of Counter Factualism

2.1. The Definition of the Counterfactual

Counterfactualism, in mathematics, is an important method of indirect proof. It begins by assuming that the proposition that contradicts the proposition to be proved (i.e., the antithesis) is true, and then proceeds logically on the basis of this assumption until it arrives at a result that is clearly contradictory or false. According to the logical law of neutrality, which states that a proposition is either true or false, and cannot be both true and false at the same time, the original assumption (i.e., the counter-argument) can be concluded to be false, thus proving the original proposition to be true [2].

Specifically, if the original proposition is "if p, then q" ($p \rightarrow q$), the contrapositive method first assumes that it is not true, i.e., "if p, then not q" ($p \rightarrow \neg q$). Then, through logical reasoning, if from this assumption can be deduced from the known facts or definitions of the results of the contradiction, then the original assumption ($p \rightarrow \neg q$) must be false, and then prove the original proposition ($p \rightarrow q$) is true. The contrapositive method reflects dialectical thinking in mathematics and is an indispensable tool in mathematical proof [3].

2.2. The Logical Basis of the Counterfactual

Reversal relies on the "law of neutrality" in logic, which states that any proposition is either true or false, with no third possibility [4]. By assuming that the negation of a proposition is true, counterfactuals can use this principle to investigate whether the result of the assumption is logical. If the result of the hypothesis leads to a contradiction, then the original proposition can be confirmed to be true because the negation of the original proposition is not logical.

The contrapositive method is also based on the principle of contradiction in logic, which states that a proposition and its negation cannot be true at the same time [5]. In the process of contraposition, assuming that the negation of a proposition is true leads to a contradictory or irrational conclusion, which shows that the assumption is not valid. Since the negation of the hypothesis leads to a contradiction, we can conclude that the original proposition is true.

The validity of the counterfactual is also based on the coherence and consistency of logical reasoning. When using the counterfactual method, we start from the negation of a hypothesis and, through a series of logical deductions, obtain a contradictory result. This derivation must conform to the rules of logic, otherwise the reasoning process will be invalid. Therefore, counter factualism relies on a rigorous process of logical reasoning to ensure the reliability of its results.

3. Applications of the Inverse Method to Number Theory

3.1. Proof of Infinity of Prime Numbers

The infinity of primes is a fundamental proposition in number theory. Euclid first proved the infinity of primes by using the converse method in the Principia Geometrica. Assuming that the number of primes is finite, let's say *N*, and noting that these *n* primes are $p_1,\ p_2 \ldots p_n$. Then, he constructed a new number $M = p_1 p_2 \ldots p_{n+1}$, and states that M cannot be divided by any of the integer's $p_1,\ p_2 \ldots p_{n+1}$, and that *M* is not divisible by any of $p_1,\ p_2$, This leads to a contradiction, since *M* is either a new prime (if it is itself a prime) or divisible by other primes (if it is not a prime), thus proving that the number of primes cannot be finite.

3.2. Proof of the Unique Decomposition Theorem

The unique decomposition theorem is an important theorem in number theory, which states that every natural number greater than 1 can be expressed uniquely as the product of a number of primes. The proof of this theorem can also be done by contrapositive methods. Suppose there are two different prime factorizations $n = p_1 p_2 ..\ \ p_k = q_1 q_2 \ldots q_m$, where p_i and q_i are both prime numbers and $p_i \neq q_i$ holds for any *i*. Without loss of generality, assume that p_1 is the smallest p_i and q_1 is the smallest q_i, and assume that $p_1 < q_1$. Since $n = p_1 p_2 \ldots,\ \ p_k$ is a multiple of q_1 and q_1 is prime, so $p_1 p_2, \ldots,\ \ p_k$must contain a factor of q_1, but this contradicts the premise that p_i and q_i are both prime and mutually exclusive. Therefore, the unique decomposition theorem is proved.

3.3. Existence Problems for Integer Solutions

In number theory, it is often necessary to prove whether there is an integer solution to an equation. The counterfactual method is equally effective in dealing with such problems. For example, consider Fermat's theorem (for any integer n greater than 2, the equation $xn + yn = zn$ has no positive integer solutions). Although the complete proof of Fermat's theorem involves complex mathematical tools and techniques, the idea of the proof can be understood in terms of the contrapositive method: assume that there exists a set of positive integer solutions (*x*, *y*, *z*) such that $xn + yn = zn$ holds. Then, reasoning is carried out based on this assumption, and if a contradiction can eventually be deduced (e.g., by techniques such as mathematical induction or modulo arithmetic), the original assumption is not valid, thus proving the correctness of Fermat's Theorem.

3.4. The Proofs of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is an important theorem in mathematics that shows that polynomial equations with any number of roots have at least one root in the complex domain. The contrapositive method can be used to prove this theorem. Suppose there exists a polynomial equation $f(z) = 0$ with a number greater than 1 that has no roots in the complex domain. Then, by the properties of polynomials, *f(z)* can be expressed as $f(z) = c(z - a_1)\ (z - a_2)\ \dots (z - a_n)(c \neq 0,\ ai\ is\ a\ complex\ number)$. Since *f(z)* has no roots, we assume that for all complex numbers z, we have $f(z) \neq 0$. In particular, consider the modulus function |*f(z)*| of *f(z)* in the complex plane.

Since $f(z)$ is a polynomial, as $|z|$ tends to infinity, $|f(z)|$ also tends to infinity because the highest-order term dominates. This means that there exists a sufficiently large positive real number R such that when $|z| > R$, there is $|f(z)| > |f(0)|$.

Now, consider a closed disk D in the complex plane centered at the origin with radius R. Since D is compact (i.e., closed and bounded), according to the extremum theorem for continuous functions, $|f(z)|$ must reach its maximum and minimum on D. Let this maximum be *M*, and since $f(0) \neq 0$ (since f(z) is assumed to have no roots), we can choose $M > M(0) \neq 0$ (since $f(z)$ is assumed to have no roots). Let this maximum be M, and since $f\ \ (0) \neq 0$ (since $f(z)$ is assumed to have no roots), we can choose $M > |f(0)|$.

Next, consider a circle C in the complex plane centered at the origin with radius *R + 1*. Since $|f(z)| > |f(0)|$ when $|z| > R$, the minimum value of $|f(z)|$ must also be greater than $|f(0)|$ on circle C. However, by the principle of maximum modulus in complex functions (or the inverse of the open mapping theorem), if $f(z)$ achieves its maximum inside C (i.e., in the interior of C), then $f(z)$ must be constant in the interior of C. However, according to the principle of maximal modes in complex functions (or the inverse of the open mapping theorem), if $f(\mathrm{z})$ obtains its maximum value *M* in the interior of C (i.e., D), and if $f(\mathrm{z})$ is continuous and nonzero on C, then $f(\mathrm{z})$ must also be constant in the interior of C. However, this is not consistent with the fact that $f(\mathrm{z})$ has a constant value *M* in the interior of the circle. However, this contradicts the fact that $f(\mathrm{z})$ is a polynomial of degree greater than one, since non-constant polynomials cannot be constant.

Thus, a contradiction is found, i.e., it is not valid to assume that$f(\mathrm{z})$ has no roots in the complex domain. Therefore, the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra holds, i.e., polynomial equations with any number of roots have at least one root in the complex domain.

4. Notes on the Application of the Counterfactual

4.1. Correctly Rejecting the Conclusion

In number theory problems, the inverse method as a powerful means of proof, the application of the first and key step to pay attention to is the correct negation of the conclusion. This is because the basic logic of the inverse method is to start from the negation of the conclusion, through a series of rigorous reasoning, and finally derive the contradiction, so as to prove the correctness of the original proposition. Therefore, if the negation of the conclusion is inaccurate or there are omissions, the subsequent reasoning, even if subtle, will not achieve the desired effect of the proof. In the field of number theory, since the problem often involves complex mathematical structures and logical relations, the correct negation of the conclusion is particularly important. For example, in proving a number theoretic proposition, if you need to use the inverse method, you should firstly express the negative form of the proposition clearly and correctly, and make sure that you have not omitted any key conditions or assumptions. At the same time, the reasonableness of the negative conclusion should be carefully scrutinized to avoid introducing unnecessary complexity or logical ambiguity. In addition, it is worth noting that the successful application of the counterfactual depends on sound reasoning and rigorous logic. After rejecting the conclusion, we should make full use of the basic concepts, theorems and properties in number theory, and advance the proof process step by step by constructing counterexamples, deducing contradictions or utilizing inverses. In this process, it is important to maintain clarity of thought and logical coherence, and to avoid jumps or faulty reasoning.

4.2. Critical Reasoning

Before using the contrapositive method, it is first necessary to clearly define the proposition to be proved and its antithesis. For example, to prove that an integer (n) is prime, assume that it is not prime, i.e., it is divisible by other integers. Under this assumption, the next step is to derive a contradiction. The key to this step is an accurate characterization of the opposition. From the assumption of opposites, the contradiction must be deduced step by step by logical reasoning. In number theory, this usually involves the use of known theorems, formulas, or basic properties of number theory. Each step of the derivation must be supported by rigorous logic, avoiding jumps or logical gaps. Each step in the derivation should be indisputable to ensure that the final contradiction is valid. Once the contradiction is obtained, the exact nature of the contradiction must be confirmed. For example, in number theory, common contradictions include the irreducibility of numbers, and contradictions in modular arithmetic. The reasonableness of the contradiction is verified to ensure that every aspect of the derivation is correct and not due to some error or misunderstanding of the assumptions. The validity of the method of contradiction relies on the exclusivity of the opposing hypothesis, i.e., the opposing hypothesis and the proposition to be proved are mutually exclusive. If the opposing assumptions and the propositions to be proved are not in complete opposition, then the conclusions obtained by the counterfactual method may not be valid. Confirmation of the exclusivity of the antithetical postulate and the proposition to be proved is an important prerequisite in number theory problems. When applying the inverse method, one can refer to known number theory problems and proof techniques. For example, the famous proof of “infinitely many primes” utilizes the inverse method. Knowledge of solutions to similar problems can help one to check the correctness of one’s application of the inverse method.

4.3. Finding and Demonstrating Contradictions

In number theory problems, the inverse method mainly involves three types of contradictions: mathematical contradictions, logical contradictions and practical contradictions. Understanding the formation and resolution of these contradictions will help you better grasp the key points of the application of the inverse method.

A mathematical contradiction arises when the assumption of the negation of a proposition leads to a result that violates a known mathematical theorem or formula. For example, consider the properties of integers. If we want to prove that “$\sqrt{2}$ is irrational”, we can assume by contraposition that “$\sqrt{2}$ is rational”. Suppose $\sqrt{2} = a/b$, where a and b are mutually prime integers. We can then deduce that $a^2 = 2b^2$, and further deduce that both a^2 and b^2 must be even, resulting in a contradiction as a and b are not prime integers. This contradiction arises from the fact that our original hypothesis contradicts the mathematical theorem, which means that the original hypothesis is not valid and that $\sqrt{2}$ is indeed irrational.

Logical contradiction occurs in the process of disproof, where a logically inconsistent conclusion is reached through the negation of an assumption. For example, if we want to prove that “there is no maximal prime number”, we can assume the opposite proposition: “there exists a maximal prime number p”. Consider the product of all primes less than or equal to p plus 1, denoted $N = (2 \times 3 \times 5 \times \ldots \times p) + 1$. N is not the product of all primes. × N is not any known prime, nor is it divisible by any known prime, so N must be a new prime, or at least a prime that is not less than or equal to p, which contradicts the assumption that there exists a maximum prime p. Therefore, our initial assumption is that there exists a maximum prime p. Therefore, our initial assumption is wrong and we prove that there is no maximal prime.

An actual contradiction is a result that contradicts an assumption in a real situation. For example, in proving that "no two different positive integers have a sum of squares equal to the square of another positive integer", it is assumed that there exist such integers x, y, z such that $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$, and it is assumed that these are the smallest possible integers that meet the conditions. Further analysis of these integers reveals that the actual calculation contradicts the assumed minimality and concludes that the existence of such integers is impossible. These contradictions often depend on specific conditions or the actual results of calculations.

4.4. Avoid Circular Arguments

A circular argument, or circular reasoning, is one in which the conclusion of an argument is used as its premise in the course of a proof. This situation usually leads to logical illogicality, because the conclusion depends for its correctness on the assumptions that are themselves used as premises, thus creating a logical circularity. For example, if we assume the negation of p in the course of a contrapositive argument, and then again rely on the truth or falsity of p in our reasoning, then a circular argument occurs. To avoid circular arguments, we must pay attention to the following points when using the inverse method.

Firstly, clearly define the hypothesis to be tested. When using contrapositive methods, it is important to clearly define the negation of hypothesis P and ensure it is independent of the conclusion we aim to prove. The negation of the hypothesis should be the direct opposite of the proposition P, and not some other statement related to P. Secondly, ensure that each step of reasoning is independent and logically consistent. In the process of derivation, it must be ensured that each step of reasoning is based on an independent logical foundation. The conclusion cannot be used as the basis for any reasoning, as this would lead to logical circularity. Thirdly, review the logical chain. After the proof is complete, check that each step of the reasoning makes sense and does not lead back to the hypothesis itself. An effective counterfactual should show that the negation of the hypothesis leads to an inconsistency rather than relying on the conclusion itself. Fourthly, base the reasoning on known theorems, axioms, and established facts. Deductions in a counterfactual should be based on known mathematical theorems, axioms, and facts, rather than on unproven assumptions. This helps to ensure the validity of the reasoning process and to avoid invalid cycles.

5. The Significance of Counterfactuals in the Study of Number Theory

5.1. Advancing Number Theory

As a powerful logical instrument of mathematical proof, the reversal method plays a vital role in the research field of number theory, not only is a special way to attack difficulties, but also is an important propelling force to promote the development of number theory all the time. As one of the oldest and purest mathematics, number theory is devoted to the study of the mysterious integers and their own properties, whose problems are complex and profound, and the method of direct proof is often difficult to start. In this view, the interesting inverse method shows its charm.

Through the method of contraposition, mathematicians are able to skillfully assume that a proposition is not valid, and then reason logically on the basis of this assumption until they arrive at a conclusion that contradicts a known fact or a fundamental axiom. The appearance of such contradictions directly proves that the original assumption-that is, the assumption that the proposition is not valid-is wrong, thus indirectly proving the correctness of the original proposition. This method not only simplifies the proof process, but also broadens the idea of problem solving, so that some seemingly intractable problems can be solved.

In the long history of number theory, the inverse method has been widely used in the study of many important problems such as the distribution of prime numbers, Goldbach's conjecture, Fermat's theorem and so on. It has not only helped mathematicians to prove many important theorems, but also stimulated the birth of new research ideas and methods, and promoted the enrichment and development of number theory. Therefore, it can be said that the counterfactual method is not only a technical means in the study of number theory, but also a key force to promote the old discipline of number theory to be constantly revitalized and move to new heights.

5.2. Developing Logical Thinking

Proof by contradiction requires researchers to think logically based on evidence. The use of counterfactuals demands that each step of reasoning be accurate and precise, and even a small logical loophole can sometimes collapse the proof process. The demand for logical rigor requires researchers to develop the habit of logical precision in their daily research and learning, as well as constantly improving their logical reasoning ability.

Counterfactualism promotes the cultivation of reverse thinking among researchers. Unlike forward reasoning, the counterfactual method requires the researcher to reason from the negation of the proposition. This kind of thinking can break conventional patterns and stimulate new inspiration and ideas. In the study of number theory, many important discoveries and theorems have benefited from the use of reverse thinking.

The counterfactual method also cultivates the researcher's skill to grasp and confront contradictions. In the use of the counterfactual method, grasp and show contradictions are the cornerstones of a successful proof: To do so, the researcher needs keen insight to spot logical contradictions in the reasoning process and accurately pinpoint where they are. At the same time, researchers also need the ability to manage and resolve contradictions through rational reasoning and argumentation to reach a correct conclusion.

5.3. Broadening Mathematical Horizons

First of all, the counterfactual method encourages researchers to go beyond traditional boundaries and think deeply about the nature and structure of mathematics. In the process of solving number theory problems using the contrapositive method, researchers often need to step beyond the framework of the problem itself and examine mathematical objects from a more macroscopic and abstract perspective. This kind of cross-border thinking not only helps to solve the current number theory problems, but also inspires the researcher to have a deep understanding of the overall structure and inner connection of mathematics. Secondly, the application of the contrapositive method promotes communication and integration between different branches of mathematics. When applying the inverse method in number theory, researchers may draw on or use the theories and methods of other branches of mathematics, such as algebra, geometry, analysis and so on. This interdisciplinary cross-fertilization not only enriches the means and methods of number theory research, but also promotes mutual understanding and common development among different branches of mathematics. The counterfactual method also stimulates the researcher's desire to explore the unknown field of mathematics. By revealing the contradictions between hypotheses and known facts, the counterfactual demonstrates the rigor of mathematical logic and the infinite mysteries of the mathematical world. This challenge and pursuit of the unknown field stimulates the researcher's curiosity and desire to explore mathematics, and pushes them to go deeper and deeper into mathematics, expanding the boundaries of mathematical research.

6. Concluding Remarks

The application of counterfactual method in number theory problems has demonstrated its unique charm and powerful proving ability. By constructing counterexamples and deducing contradictions, counterfactual method not only solves many difficult problems in number theory, but also promotes the development of mathematical theory. From the existence of irrational numbers, the infinity of prime numbers, the unique decomposition theorem to the fundamental theorem of algebra, antidemonstration has played a key role. It is not only a method of proof, but also a way of thinking and a strategy for solving problems. In future mathematical research, antidemonstration will continue to play an important role and provide strong support for solving more complex mathematical problems. In addition, through learning and mastering the counterfactual method, we can cultivate the ability of reverse thinking and improve the rigor and flexibility of logical reasoning. In the study, we should strengthen the introduction and training of the inverse method, and be able to use this method flexibly in solving mathematical problems, so as to cultivate our own mathematical literacy and innovation ability.

References

1. S. Y. Yan, *Elementary Number Theory*. Number Theory for Computing, pp. 1-172, 2002, doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-04773-6_1.
2. A. Balke and J. Pearl, "Counterfactual probabilities: Computational methods, bounds and applications," in *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, Morgan Kaufmann, Jan. 1994, pp. 46-54, doi: 10.1016/B978-1-55860-332-5.50011-0.
3. M. A. Prado-Romero, B. Prenkaj, G. Stilo, and F. Giannotti, "A survey on graph counterfactual explanations: Definitions, methods, evaluation, and research challenges," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 1-37, 2024, doi: 10.1145/3618105.
4. F. Jackson, "A causal theory of counterfactuals," *Australas. J. Philos.*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 3-21, 1977, doi: 10.1080/00048407712341001.
5. D. Brughmans, L. Melis, and D. Martens, "Disagreement amongst counterfactual explanations: How transparency can be misleading," *Top*, pp. 1-34, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s11750-024-00670-2.