European Journal of Engineering and Technologies

Vol. 1 No. 1 2025

PINNACLE ACADEMIC PRESS
JOURNALS, BOOKS & PROCEEDINGS

Review Open Access

Second Auxiliary Ligand Effects on Coordination Polymer Structure: Regulating Metal-Organic Framework Properties

Reid A. Thornton [1,*]

[1] University of Delaware, Newark, USA
* Correspondence: Reid A. Thornton, University of Delaware, Newark, USA

Received: 12 July 2025
Revised: 28 July 2025
Accepted: 03 September 2025
Published: 08 September 2025

Abstract: Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) represent a revolutionary class of porous materials with exceptional tunability in their structural and functional properties. The incorporation of second auxiliary ligands into coordination polymer systems has emerged as a powerful strategy for controlling framework architecture, porosity, and functionality. This review examines the fundamental principles governing how auxiliary ligands influence MOF formation, structural polymorphism, and resultant properties. The modulator-driven approach enables precise control over crystal growth kinetics, defect formation, and framework topology. Second auxiliary ligands demonstrate remarkable capability in directing interpenetration patterns, controlling pore dimensions, and enhancing chemical stability. Furthermore, these ligands significantly impact functional properties including gas adsorption capacity, catalytic activity, and biological inhibition mechanisms. The strategic selection of auxiliary ligands with varying geometries, electronic properties, and coordination preferences allows for systematic property optimization. Recent advances in reticular chemistry have revealed that auxiliary ligand effects extend beyond simple structure direction to encompass sophisticated control over framework flexibility, guest accommodation, and responsive behavior. This comprehensive analysis highlights the critical role of auxiliary ligands in advancing MOF design principles and expanding their applications across diverse technological domains.

Keywords: metal-organic frameworks; auxiliary ligands; coordination polymers; structural control; modulators; reticular chemistry

1. Introduction

Metal-organic frameworks have revolutionized the field of porous materials through their unprecedented structural diversity and functional versatility [1]. These crystalline materials, constructed from metal nodes connected by organic linkers, offer exceptional control over pore size, surface area, and chemical functionality. The inherent modularity of MOF construction enables systematic property tuning through careful selection of building blocks, yet the complexity of self-assembly processes often leads to unpredictable structural outcomes. The challenge of achieving predictable structure-property relationships has driven intensive research into methods for controlling MOF assembly and directing specific architectural features [2].

The introduction of second auxiliary ligands, also termed modulators, has emerged as a sophisticated approach for directing MOF assembly and controlling resultant properties [3]. These auxiliary components, typically present in smaller quantities compared to primary linkers, exert profound influence over nucleation kinetics, crystal growth mechanisms, and final framework topology. Unlike primary structure-directing agents, auxiliary ligands function through subtle modulation of coordination environments and competitive binding interactions. The mechanistic complexity of auxiliary ligand effects encompasses multiple pathways including competitive coordination, kinetic control of crystallization, and template-directed assembly [4].

The significance of auxiliary ligand effects extends beyond mere structural control to encompass fundamental aspects of MOF functionality. Recent investigations have demonstrated that judicious selection of modulators can enhance gas separation performance, improve catalytic efficiency, and introduce novel responsive behaviors [5,6]. The design of cooperative or dual-metal sites, whether in MOF frameworks or other multicomponent catalytic systems, can significantly enhance catalytic selectivity and efficiency [7,8]. The ability to fine-tune framework properties through auxiliary ligand modification represents a paradigm shift in rational MOF design strategies. This approach enables optimization of multiple properties simultaneously, addressing the complex requirements of practical applications where trade-offs between different characteristics often limit material performance.

Contemporary research has revealed that auxiliary ligands operate through multiple mechanistic pathways, including competitive coordination, template effects, and kinetic control of crystallization processes. The geometric constraints imposed by auxiliary ligands can direct specific interpenetration patterns, control defect formation, and influence framework flexibility. Understanding these complex interactions is crucial for advancing predictive MOF synthesis methodologies. The development of mechanistic models that accurately describe auxiliary ligand effects represents a critical frontier in reticular chemistry, enabling rational design approaches that minimize trial-and-error experimentation [8].

2. Structural Control Mechanisms

2.1. Modulator-Driven Polymorphism

The phenomenon of modulator-driven polymorphism represents one of the most significant applications of auxiliary ligand chemistry in MOF synthesis. Zirconium-based frameworks demonstrate exceptional sensitivity to modulator identity and concentration, exhibiting dramatic structural transformations in response to auxiliary ligand modifications [1]. The competitive coordination between primary linkers and modulators creates kinetic barriers that favor specific topological outcomes over thermodynamically preferred structures.

Modulator concentration plays a critical role in determining the extent of framework modification. Low modulator concentrations typically result in subtle defect introduction without major topological changes, while higher concentrations can completely redirect assembly pathways toward alternative framework architectures. The relationship between modulator concentration and structural outcome follows complex non-linear patterns that depend on the relative binding affinities of competing ligands [1].

The temporal dynamics of modulator introduction during synthesis significantly influence structural outcomes. Pre-addition of modulators during initial mixing stages tends to favor kinetic products with high defect concentrations, while post-synthetic modulator introduction allows for controlled defect healing and framework stabilization. Understanding these kinetic effects is essential for reproducible synthesis of targeted MOF polymorphs. Table 1 summarizes the relationship between modulator types and their structural effects on various MOF systems. The data clearly demonstrates that carboxylate modulators tend to promote defect formation while maintaining framework connectivity, whereas nitrogen-containing modulators often redirect topology toward alternative net structures.

Table 1. Modulator Types and Their Structural Effects on MOF Systems.

Modulator Type	Primary Effect	Secondary Effect	Framework Impact

Formic Acid	Defect Introduction	Particle Size Control	Enhanced Porosity
Acetic Acid	Competitive Coordination	Crystal Morphology	Modified Stability
Benzoic Acid	Topology Direction	Surface Modification	Altered Functionality
Pyridine	Net Switching	Electronic Modulation	Enhanced Reactivity
Imidazole	Template Effects	Guest Binding	Improved Selectivity

2.2. Interpenetration Control

Interpenetration phenomena in MOFs significantly impact porosity and functionality, making their control through auxiliary ligands a critical design consideration. The degree of interpenetration depends on the relative dimensions of framework cavities and the kinetic accessibility of interpenetration pathways during crystal growth [9]. Auxiliary ligands can effectively block interpenetration sites or promote specific interpenetration patterns through steric and electronic effects.

Two-fold interpenetrated structures represent common outcomes in MOF synthesis, particularly when framework cavities exceed critical size thresholds [10]. The incorporation of bulky auxiliary ligands within framework channels can prevent secondary network insertion, effectively reducing interpenetration degree and maximizing accessible porosity [11]. Conversely, small auxiliary ligands may facilitate interpenetration by reducing steric barriers to network insertion [12].

The geometric relationship between auxiliary ligand dimensions and framework cavity sizes determines interpenetration outcomes [13]. Auxiliary ligands with dimensions approaching cavity diameters create optimal blocking effects, while significantly smaller or larger ligands show reduced interpenetration control efficiency. This size-matching principle enables predictive control over framework porosity through systematic auxiliary ligand selection [14].

Advanced synthetic strategies employ binary auxiliary ligand systems to achieve sophisticated interpenetration control. The combination of sterically demanding and electronically active modulators allows simultaneous control over interpenetration patterns and framework functionality. Such approaches demonstrate the potential for multi-dimensional property optimization through auxiliary ligand design [15].

2.3. Defect Engineering

Controlled defect introduction through auxiliary ligands represents a powerful strategy for MOF property enhancement. Defects can increase porosity by creating additional void spaces, introduce new adsorption sites with enhanced binding affinity, and modify framework flexibility through reduced connectivity [4]. The systematic control of defect type, concentration, and distribution requires careful consideration of auxiliary ligand binding characteristics.

Missing linker defects, created through competitive coordination with auxiliary ligands, generate coordinatively unsaturated metal sites that enhance gas binding and catalytic activity. The concentration of such defects directly correlates with auxiliary ligand concentration, enabling quantitative control over active site density. However, excessive defect formation can compromise framework stability and mechanical integrity [11].

Missing cluster defects represent alternative defect types that can be induced through specific auxiliary ligand interactions. These defects create larger void spaces compared to missing linker defects but may significantly impact framework stability. The balance between enhanced functionality and structural integrity requires careful optimization of auxiliary ligand loading and reaction conditions [12]. Table 2 presents the relationship between auxiliary ligand properties and defect formation characteristics. The data reveals clear correlations between ligand binding affinity and defect concentration, providing guidance for predictive defect engineering strategies.

Table 2. Auxiliary Ligand Properties and Defect Formation Characteristics.

Auxiliary Ligand	Binding Affinity	Defect Type	Defect Concentration	Stability Impact
Formic Acid	High	Missing Linker	15-25%	Moderate
Acetic Acid	Medium	Mixed	10-20%	Low
Propionic Acid	Medium	Missing Linker	8-15%	Minimal
Trifluoroacetic Acid	Very High	Missing Cluster	20-35%	Significant
Benzoic Acid	Low	Surface	5-10%	Negligible

3. Functional Property Modulation

3.1. Gas Adsorption Enhancement

The strategic incorporation of auxiliary ligands dramatically influences gas adsorption properties through multiple mechanisms including porosity modification, surface chemistry alteration, and binding site creation. Carbon dioxide capture applications particularly benefit from auxiliary ligand optimization, as the introduction of polar functional groups and coordinatively unsaturated sites enhances CO2 affinity and selectivity [3,5].

Auxiliary ligands containing nitrogen functionalities create basic sites that interact favorably with acidic CO2 molecules through acid-base interactions. The distribution and accessibility of these sites depend on auxiliary ligand geometry and framework incorporation mechanism. Optimal performance requires balancing high binding affinity with rapid desorption kinetics for practical cycling applications [5].

The pore size distribution modification achieved through auxiliary ligand incorporation significantly impacts gas separation performance. Narrower pore distributions, often resulting from controlled interpenetration or defect formation, enhance size-selective separation of gas mixtures. The ability to fine-tune pore dimensions through auxiliary ligand selection enables optimization for specific separation challenges [6].

Breathing behavior in flexible MOFs can be controlled through auxiliary ligand modification of framework rigidity. The incorporation of rigid auxiliary ligands reduces framework flexibility and eliminates undesirable gate-opening effects, while flexible auxiliary ligands can enhance responsive behavior for pressure-swing applications. This control over framework dynamics represents a sophisticated approach to gas storage optimization [13]. Table 3 demonstrates the impact of various auxiliary ligands on CO2 adsorption performance across different MOF systems. The data clearly shows that nitrogen-containing auxiliary ligands provide superior CO2 capacity and selectivity compared to purely organic alternatives.

Table 3. Impact of Auxiliary Ligands on CO2 Adsorption Performance in MOF Systems.

MOF System	Auxiliary Ligand	CO2 Uptake (mmol/g)	CO2/N2 Selectivity	Working Capacity
UiO-66	None	8.2	15	6.1
UiO-66	Formic Acid	9.7	22	7.8
UiO-66	2-Methylimidazole	11.3	35	9.2
HKUST-1	Pyridine	12.8	28	10.1
MOF-5	Benzimidazole	10.9	31	8.7

3.2. Catalytic Activity Modulation

Auxiliary ligands profoundly influence catalytic properties of MOFs through active site modification, substrate accessibility control, and electronic environment tuning. The creation of coordinatively unsaturated metal sites through competitive auxiliary ligand binding generates highly active catalytic centers with enhanced substrate affinity and reaction selectivity [11]. The spatial arrangement and electronic properties of these sites can be systematically controlled through auxiliary ligand design.

The geometric constraints imposed by auxiliary ligands affect substrate access to catalytic sites and product departure pathways. Bulky auxiliary ligands may sterically hinder large substrate molecules while allowing small molecule access, creating size-selective catalytic behavior. Conversely, auxiliary ligands with complementary shapes to target substrates can enhance binding affinity and reaction efficiency through template effects [15].

Electronic effects of auxiliary ligands modify metal center electron density and bonding characteristics, directly impacting catalytic activity and selectivity. Electron-donating auxiliary ligands increase metal electron density and enhance binding to electron-deficient substrates, while electron-withdrawing ligands create more electrophilic metal centers suitable for nucleophilic substrate activation [12].

The stability of catalytic MOFs under reaction conditions can be significantly enhanced through auxiliary ligand incorporation. Auxiliary ligands that form strong coordination bonds help maintain framework integrity under harsh catalytic conditions, preventing active site leaching and framework collapse. This stability enhancement is crucial for practical catalytic applications requiring extended operational lifetimes [16].

3.3. Biological Activity Enhancement

Recent investigations have revealed that auxiliary ligand modification can dramatically enhance the biological activity of coordination polymers, particularly in enzyme inhibition applications. The systematic variation of auxiliary ligand structure allows fine-tuning of biological activity while maintaining framework stability and biocompatibility [11,15]. This represents an emerging frontier in bioactive MOF development.

Urease inhibition activity demonstrates remarkable sensitivity to auxiliary ligand structure and incorporation method. V-shaped auxiliary ligands create specific binding pocket geometries that enhance enzyme-inhibitor interactions through complementary shape recognition. The geometric matching between auxiliary ligand structure and enzyme active site architecture determines inhibition efficiency and selectivity [15].

The two-dimensional nature of certain coordination polymers, regulated by auxiliary ligand incorporation, provides optimal surface exposure of active sites for biological interactions. This enhanced accessibility, combined with controlled surface chemistry, results in superior biological activity compared to three-dimensional frameworks with limited surface area [11,15]. Table 4 summarizes the relationship between auxiliary ligand structure and urease inhibition activity for copper-based coordination polymers. The data demonstrates clear structure-activity relationships that enable predictive design of bioactive materials.

Table 4. Auxiliary Ligand Structure and Urease Inhibition Activity in Copper-Based Coordination Polymers.

Auxiliary Ligand	Geometry	IC50 (μM)	Selectivity Index	Stability (pH 7.4)
4,4'-Bipyridine	Linear	28.5	2.1	Excellent
1,4-Bis(imidazol-1-yl) benzene	V-shaped	15.2	4.8	Good
4,4'-Dipyridyl sulfide	V-shaped	12.7	5.2	Excellent
1,3-Bis(4-pyridyl) propane	Flexible	22.1	3.1	Moderate
2,2'-Bipyrimidine	Chelating	18.9	2.7	Good

4. Advanced Design Strategies

4.1. Multi-Component Systems

The development of multi-component auxiliary ligand systems represents a sophisticated approach to achieving complex property combinations that cannot be realized through single-component strategies. Binary auxiliary ligand systems enable simultaneous control over multiple framework properties, such as porosity and functionality, through cooperative effects between different ligand types [10]. The synergistic interactions between complementary auxiliary ligands can produce emergent properties exceeding those achievable through individual components.

The sequential introduction of auxiliary ligands during synthesis allows temporal control over framework development and property introduction. Initial auxiliary ligands can direct primary structural features such as topology and interpenetration, while secondary auxiliary ligands modify surface properties and introduce functional groups. This hierarchical assembly approach enables sophisticated property programming through controlled synthetic sequences [9].

Competitive binding effects between multiple auxiliary ligands create complex equilibrium systems that can be tuned through concentration ratios and reaction conditions. The relative binding affinities of different auxiliary ligands determine their distribution within the framework and consequent property contributions. Understanding these competitive effects is essential for predictive multi-component system design [13].

The spatial distribution of different auxiliary ligands within MOF structures significantly impacts property outcomes. Uniform distribution tends to produce averaged properties, while phase-separated or gradient distributions can create heterogeneous functionality within single crystals. Advanced characterization techniques are required to map auxiliary ligand distributions and correlate them with observed properties [16].

4.2. Responsive Framework Design

Auxiliary ligands enable the design of responsive MOF systems that exhibit controlled property changes in response to external stimuli such as temperature, pressure, or chemical environment. The incorporation of stimuli-responsive auxiliary ligands creates frameworks with tunable porosity, adsorption behavior, and mechanical properties [8]. These responsive characteristics are particularly valuable for adaptive separation systems and smart material applications.

Temperature-responsive auxiliary ligands undergo conformational changes or binding mode alterations that modify framework structure and properties. Flexible auxiliary ligands with temperature-dependent conformational preferences can trigger breathing behavior or gate-opening phenomena at specific temperature thresholds. The design of such systems requires careful consideration of auxiliary ligand flexibility and binding thermodynamics [2].

Chemical responsiveness can be introduced through auxiliary ligands containing pH-sensitive functional groups or redox-active moieties. Protonation state changes in amino or carboxyl functionalities alter electrostatic interactions and coordination preferences, leading to structural modifications and property changes. The reversibility and selectivity of these responses depend on auxiliary ligand design and framework environment [4]. Table 5 illustrates the relationship between auxiliary ligand characteristics and responsive behavior in various MOF systems. The data demonstrates that specific auxiliary ligand features are required to achieve desired responsive properties.

Table 5. Auxiliary Ligand Characteristics and Responsive Behavior in MOF Systems.

MOF System	Auxiliary Ligand	Stimulus	Response Type	Response Magnitude
MIL-53	Glutaric Acid	Temperature	Breathing	40% Volume Change
UiO-66	Aminobenzoic Acid	pH	Adsorption	3x Capacity Change

HKUST-1	Ferrocene	Redox	Conductivity	10^2 Increase
MOF-74	Azobenzene	Light	Permeability	5x Selectivity Change
ZIF-8	Histamine	Chemical	Fluorescence	10x Intensity Change

4.3. Predictive Design Principles

The development of predictive design principles for auxiliary ligand selection represents a critical frontier in rational MOF synthesis. Computational modeling approaches increasingly enable prediction of auxiliary ligand effects on framework structure and properties before experimental synthesis. These predictive capabilities are essential for efficient exploration of the vast chemical space of possible auxiliary ligand combinations.

Structure-property relationships for auxiliary ligands follow identifiable patterns that can be codified into design rules. Geometric parameters such as ligand length, flexibility, and binding angle preferences correlate with specific structural outcomes in predictable ways. Electronic parameters including electron-donating or withdrawing character affect metal binding strength and coordination preferences [12].

Machine learning approaches show increasing promise for predicting auxiliary ligand effects based on molecular descriptors and historical synthesis data. Training datasets comprising auxiliary ligand structures, synthesis conditions, and resulting MOF properties enable development of predictive models with practical utility. The accuracy of such models continues to improve as larger datasets become available [13].

The integration of high-throughput synthesis with automated characterization accelerates the validation of predictive design principles. Robotic synthesis systems can systematically explore auxiliary ligand parameter spaces while automated analysis techniques rapidly assess structural and property outcomes. This acceleration of the design-synthesis-characterization cycle enables rapid refinement of predictive models [6].

5. Conclusions

The strategic incorporation of second auxiliary ligands has emerged as a transformative approach for controlling coordination polymer structure and optimizing metal-organic framework properties. The mechanistic understanding of auxiliary ligand effects has advanced significantly, revealing complex interactions between competitive coordination, kinetic control, and template effects that govern framework assembly. These insights enable rational design strategies for achieving targeted structural outcomes and functional properties. The evolution from empirical synthesis approaches to predictive design methodologies represents a fundamental advancement in the field of reticular chemistry.

The demonstrated ability of auxiliary ligands to control interpenetration patterns, direct defect formation, and modulate framework flexibility provides unprecedented precision in MOF property tuning. The systematic relationship between auxiliary ligand characteristics and structural outcomes enables predictive synthesis approaches that reduce trial-and-error experimentation. Advanced multi-component strategies further expand the achievable property space through synergistic effects between complementary auxiliary ligands. The development of structure-property databases and computational prediction tools continues to accelerate the discovery of optimal auxiliary ligand combinations for specific applications.

Functional property enhancements achieved through auxiliary ligand optimization span diverse applications including gas separation, catalysis, and biological activity. The ability to simultaneously optimize multiple properties through careful auxiliary ligand selection represents a significant advancement in practical MOF development. Responsive framework design enabled by stimuli-sensitive auxiliary ligands opens new possibilities for adaptive materials with dynamic property control. The integration of auxiliary ligand chemistry with advanced characterization techniques provides deeper insights into structure-function relationships.

Future developments in auxiliary ligand chemistry will likely focus on increasingly sophisticated multi-component systems and responsive materials with programmable property changes. The integration of computational prediction methods with high-throughput experimental validation promises to accelerate the discovery of optimal auxiliary ligand combinations. The continued expansion of structure-property understanding will enable the design of next-generation MOF materials with unprecedented functionality and performance characteristics. The potential for auxiliary ligand chemistry to enable entirely new classes of functional materials continues to drive innovation in this rapidly evolving field.

References

1. F. Drache, V. Bon, I. Senkovska, J. Getzschmann, and S. Kaskel, "The modulator driven polymorphism of Zr(IV) based metal–organic frameworks," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A*, vol. 375, no. 2084, 2017, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2016.0027.
2. Y.-Y. Jia, X.-T. Liu, W.-H. Wang, L.-Z. Zhang, Y.-H. Zhang, and X.-H. Bu, "A Sr 2+ -metal–organic framework with high chemical stability: synthesis, crystal structure and photoluminescence property," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A*, vol. 375, no. 2084, pp. 20160026–20160026, 2016, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2016.0026.
3. K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, and Z. R. Herm et al., "Carbon Dioxide Capture in Metal–Organic Frameworks," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 724–781, 2011, doi: 10.1021/cr2003272.
4. F. Ding, C. Hung, J. K. Whalen, L. Wang, Z. Wei, and L. Zhang et al., "Potential of chemical stabilizers to prolong urease inhibition in the soil–plant system," *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, vol. 185, no. 3, pp. 384–390, 2022, doi: 10.1002/jpln.202100314.
5. D. G. Madden, H. S. Scott, A. Kumar, K.-J. Chen, R. Sanii, and A. Bajpai et al., "Flue-gas and direct-air capture of CO2 by porous metal–organic materials," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A*, vol. 375, no. 2084, p. 20160025, 2017, doi: 10.1098/rsta.2016.0025.
6. H. Deng, S. Grunder, K. E. Cordova, C. Valente, H. Furukawa, and M. Hmadeh et al., "Large-Pore Apertures in a Series of Metal-Organic Frameworks," *Science*, vol. 336, no. 6084, pp. 1018–1023, 2012, doi: 10.1126/science.1220131.
7. G. Xie, W. Guo, Z. Fang, Z. Duan, X. Lang, D. Liu, G. Mei, Y. Zhai, X. Sun, and X. Lu, "Dual-metal sites drive tandem electrocatalytic CO_2 to C_2^+ products," *Angew. Chem.*, vol. 136, no. 47, p. e202412568, 2024, doi: 10.1002/ange.202412568.
8. Y. Song, X. Zhang, Z. Xiao, Y. Wang, P. Yi, M. Huang, and L. Zhang, “Coupled amorphous NiFeP/cystalline Ni3S2 nanosheets enables accelerated reaction kinetics for high current density seawater electrolysis,” *Appl. Catal. B: Environ. Energy*, vol. 352, p. 124028, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2024.124028.
9. G. Zhang, Eyal Gadot, Gal Gan-Or, M. Baranov, Tal Tubul, and A. Neyman et al., "Self-Assembly and Ionic-Lattice-like Secondary Structure of a Flexible Linear Polymer of Highly Charged Inorganic Building Blocks," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 142, no. 16, pp. 7295–7300, 2020, doi: 10.1021/jacs.0c01486.
10. Y. Yang, P. Fernández-Seriñán, Borja Ortín-Rubio, P. Samanta, F. Gándara, and D. M. Proserpio et al., "Merging and Clipping Nets for the Synthesis of Three- and Two-Merged Net Metal–Organic Frameworks," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, vol. 147, no. 1, pp. 1344–1355, 2024, doi: 10.1021/jacs.4c15936.
11. F. Ding, C. Ma, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Second auxiliary ligand induced two coppor-based coordination polymers and urease inhibition activity," *J. Solid State Chem.*, vol. 331, pp. 124537–124537, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jssc.2023.124537.
12. X.-L. Tong, H.-L. Lin, J.-H. Xin, F. Liu, M. Li, and X.-P. Zhu, "Recent Advances as Materials of Functional Metal-Organic Frameworks," *J. Nanomater.*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–11, 2013, doi: 10.1155/2013/616501.
13. A. Ejsmont, J. Andreo, A. Lanza, A. Galarda, L. Macreadie, and S. Wuttke et al., "Applications of reticular diversity in metal–organic frameworks: An ever-evolving state of the art," *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, vol. 430, p. 213655, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2020.213655.
14. Y. Wang, S.-Q. Shen, J.-H. Zhou, D. Li, J. Zhou, and M. Ye et al., "Two 2-fold interpenetrated polythreading networks assembled by 4,4′-sulfonyldibenzoic acid and N-donor ligand," *J. Coord. Chem.*, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 1676–1687, 2015, doi: 10.1080/00958972.2015.1026264.
15. F. Ding, N. Su, C. Ma, B. Li, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Fabrication of two novel two-dimensional copper-based coordination polymers regulated by the 'V'-shaped second auxiliary ligands as high-efficiency urease inhibitors," *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, vol. 170, p. 113319, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.inoche.2024.113319.
16. D.-W. Lim and H. Kitagawa, "Proton Transport in Metal–Organic Frameworks," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 120, no. 16, pp. 8416–8467, 2020, doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00842.