European Journal of Education Science

Vol. 1 No.1 2025

Article **Open Access**

Exploring Visual Art Therapy as an Educational Tool: The Impact on Emotional Development and Creative Learning Outcomes for Students Aged 12-18

Rui Wang [1,*] and Chencheng Mao [2]

[1] Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK
[2] City University Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
* Correspondence: Rui Wang, Teesside University, Middlesbrough, UK

Received: 22 April 2025
Revised: 27 April 2025
Accepted: 15 May 2025
Published: 19 May 2025

Abstract: Emerging evidence demonstrates Visual Art Therapy's (VAT) significant role in adolescent development, particularly in enhancing emotional regulation and creative thinking. Studies reveal measurable improvements in psychosocial functioning through art-based interventions showing an 18% increase in emotional regulation scores. These findings gain particular relevance in China's high-pressure academic environment, where traditional education systems often overlook holistic development. The integration of VAT into Chinese schools requires thoughtful cultural adaptation. Western therapeutic approaches must be reinterpreted through local lenses — for instance, adjusting color symbolism to align with Chinese cultural meanings, where red signifies celebration rather than danger. Incorporating traditional Chinese artistic practices, such as ink wash painting and calligraphy, could further enhance engagement and therapeutic value. Successful implementation hinges on strategic curriculum design that positions VAT as cognitive and creative skill development rather than clinical intervention, thereby reducing potential stigma. Teacher training programs that combine art education with basic counseling competencies will be essential for sustainable adoption. Assessment methods should blend established tools like the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire with locally relevant measures of academic stress and wellbeing. Pilot programs in diverse school settings will be crucial for evaluating effectiveness and scalability. By bridging artistic tradition with contemporary psychological science, VAT presents a promising pathway to nurture both emotional resilience and cognitive flexibility in China's youth.

Keywords: Visual Art Therapy (VAT); cultural adaptation; emotional regulation; cognitive flexibility; Chinese education system

1. Introduction

The well-being and creative growth of adolescents have become increasingly important in educational policy and practice, particularly in response to rising concerns over youth mental health, identity formation, and the limitations of test-driven curricula. Within this context, Visual Art Therapy (VAT) — the structured use of artistic processes to foster emotional expression, self-regulation, and cognitive-emotional integration — has emerged as a promising pedagogical tool. This study investigates how VAT can be used as an educational intervention to support emotional development and enhance creative learning outcomes among adolescents aged 12 to 18 in school-based settings [1].

In many educational systems, including in East Asia, creative subjects such as art are often marginalized in favor of academic performance metrics, leaving limited space for

students to engage with emotional complexity or alternative forms of self-expression. Simultaneously, there is growing recognition of the need for whole-child education, as outlined in international frameworks advocating for psychosocial support, arts integration, and differentiated pedagogy [2]. Yet, few empirical studies have systematically examined the use of therapeutic visual arts approaches within formal secondary school contexts, particularly those that seek to link emotional development and creativity in culturally responsive ways [3].

This research is grounded in three intersecting theoretical frameworks. Researcher's sociocultural theory positions creative development as a socially mediated process, wherein meaning-making is constructed through tools and symbolic representation. Researcher's model of creativity provides a foundation for assessing divergent thinking and originality in visual expression, while implementation science frameworks offer tools for understanding the real-world feasibility and sustainability of VAT interventions in educational institutions. Together, these lenses support an exploration of how VAT might be integrated into educational systems in ways that are scalable, developmentally appropriate, and sensitive to diverse learner needs [4].

Key constructs in this study include emotional development, understood as the capacity to recognize, express, and regulate one's emotional states, and creative learning outcomes, which encompass both the cognitive flexibility and affective engagement cultivated through arts-based expression [5,6]. This research seeks to investigate how VAT can impact these domains and how teachers, students, and institutional structures respond to its pedagogical integration.

By focusing on visual art therapy within the school setting, this study addresses a critical gap at the intersection of mental health, creativity, and inclusive education. The findings aim to inform curriculum innovation, teacher development, and policy recommendations for embedding arts-based emotional learning in mainstream schooling.

2. Research Hypotheses

This study adopts a mixed-methods research approach, combining a quasi-experimental design with qualitative methods to explore both the outcomes and experiences of implementing Visual Art Therapy (VAT) as an educational intervention. This approach enables the research to assess not only the measurable impact of VAT on adolescents' emotional and creative development, but also the contextual, experiential, and pedagogical dimensions that influence its success in real-world school settings [5].

The methodology is situated within a pragmatic research paradigm, which prioritizes practical inquiry, problem-solving, and the generation of actionable knowledge. Pragmatism supports the use of multiple forms of data to understand complex educational phenomena, without privileging a singular epistemological perspective. This is particularly appropriate for an intervention-based study that must engage both measurable developmental outcomes and nuanced social processes [6,7].

The quantitative strand employs a quasi-experimental pre-post design, assessing students' emotional regulation and creative fluency before and after participation in the VAT program. This allows for the evaluation of change over time while recognizing that true experimental conditions (e.g., random assignment, full control groups) are often impractical in naturalistic school environments.

The qualitative strand draws on semi-structured interviews, participant reflection journals, and curricular document analysis. This component explores how students and teachers perceive the value of VAT, how it is implemented within institutional constraints, and what sociocultural or emotional dynamics emerge through the process. This strand aligns with sociocultural theory and implementation science, focusing on meaning-making, systemic fit, and individual response [8].

Both strands are conducted in parallel, with the intention of integrating findings during the interpretation phase. This convergent design enables the researcher to triangulate

findings and compare the extent to which qualitative experiences align with or explain quantitative changes. For example, improvements in measured emotional regulation may be deepened by student reflections on how artistic processes helped them articulate emotions symbolically.

This multi-strand approach is appropriate given the multi-dimensional nature of the research topic. It allows the study to answer the central question holistically — capturing both the measurable effects of VAT and the social, emotional, and institutional conditions that shape those effects [9].

3. Research Design

This study employs a dual sampling strategy to support its mixed-methods design: a stratified sampling approach for student participants in the quasi-experimental strand and a purposive sampling strategy for educators and curriculum designers in the qualitative strand.

1) Quantitative Sampling

The VAT intervention will be implemented with approximately 60-80 students aged 12 to 18 across two to three secondary schools in urban and peri-urban districts. Stratified sampling will ensure a balanced representation across key demographic variables, including age group (early vs. late adolescence), gender, and school type (public vs. independent). These strata are selected based on their potential influence on emotional and creative development, as well as access to arts provision [9].

Although random assignment is not feasible due to ethical and logistical constraints, matched comparison groups (non-intervention classes) may be used where possible to strengthen internal validity. Sample size estimates are based on feasibility and ethical approval guidelines, and a minimum n of 30 for the intervention group is expected to yield statistically meaningful pre-post comparisons in small-scale education studies.

2) Qualitative Sampling

For the qualitative component, a purposive sample of 10-12 teachers, arts educators, and school support staff will be selected based on their direct involvement in either delivering or supporting the VAT intervention [10]. Participants will be chosen to reflect variations in experience, subject background, and institutional role, enabling a nuanced understanding of both pedagogical integration and implementation feasibility.

Additionally, 3-5 curriculum documents, policy artifacts, or lesson plans will be included for documentary analysis, selected from participating schools and aligned with national policy frameworks related to arts, emotional learning, or inclusive pedagogy.

3) Ethical and Contextual Considerations

All sampling procedures will adhere to ethical principles of informed consent, voluntary participation, and anonymity. Student assent and guardian consent will be obtained for all participants under 18. In recognition of the school-based context, efforts will be made to minimize participant burden, avoid class disruption, and work in partnership with school leaders to ensure equitable access to the intervention [11,12].

This multi-level, contextually sensitive sampling strategy is appropriate for a study that seeks to evaluate both the effects and implementation conditions of VAT in real-world school settings.

4) Data Collection

This study will collect data through multiple instruments tailored to the mixed-methods design, developmental stage of participants, and dual focus on emotional and creative learning. The tools have been selected to ensure alignment with the study's theoretical framework, age sensitivity, and the symbolic-emotional dimensions of Visual Art Therapy (VAT) [13].

5) Quantitative Data Collection

To measure changes in emotional regulation, the study will use the Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale-Short Form (DERS-SF), adapted for adolescent populations.

This 18-item self-report questionnaire assesses domains such as emotional clarity, impulse control, and access to regulation strategies. Students will complete this scale before and after the six-week VAT program. Items will be translated and piloted for clarity with a small subgroup of students to ensure contextual validity and linguistic appropriateness [14,15].

For creative fluency, the study will use a modified version of researcher's Alternative Uses Test (AUT), adapted for visual stimuli. Students will be asked to produce multiple visual solutions to open-ended prompts using drawing, collage, or mixed media. Responses will be assessed based on fluency (number of ideas), flexibility (categories of ideas), and originality, using a structured rubric. Pre-and post-intervention scores will be compared using matched-pairs statistical analysis [13,16].

All quantitative data will be collected in classrooms under supervision, with standardized instructions and visual aids to ensure accessibility.

6) Qualitative Data Collection

The qualitative component includes three tools:

Semi-structured interviews with teachers and intervention facilitators (n = 10-12), explored perceptions of VAT's pedagogical value, challenges in implementation, and observed student outcomes. Interviews will last 45-60 minutes and will be conducted in Mandarin or English, transcribed verbatim, and translated if needed.

Student reflective journals (n = 20-30), were collected weekly over the six-week programmer. Students will be prompted to reflect on their emotional experiences, the symbolism in their art, and any changes in how they express feelings or solve problems creatively [14].

Analysis of student artworks, accompanied by brief annotations or oral interpretations from students (optional). This data will be coded using both visual symbolism analysis and thematic content analysis to capture emotional and cognitive expression.

A small number of school documents (e.g. lesson plans, inclusion policies, art curriculum guidelines) will also be collected to provide context for implementation and integration analysis.

7) Sensitivity and Developmental Suitability

All tools have been designed with developmental appropriateness in mind, recognizing that adolescents may have limited emotional vocabulary or discomfort with direct expression. VAT offers an alternative, non-verbal route to emotional insight, and this study seeks to honor that by integrating symbolic, artistic, and written forms of reflection.

Where emotional discomfort is possible (e.g., when discussing stress or family challenges), students will be offered opt-out options and pastoral support referrals. Researchers will also be trained in ethical visual elicitation and non-directive interviewing to ensure safe engagement.

4. Baseline Statistical Overview and Trend Assumptions

4.1. Descriptive Stats

1) Data Analysis

This study employs a convergent mixed-methods design, in which quantitative and qualitative data are collected and analyzed in parallel and then integrated during the interpretation phase. This design allows for both triangulation of findings and a rich, multiperspective understanding of how VAT affects students' emotional and creative development [15].

2) Quantitative Analysis

Quantitative data from the pre-and post-intervention assessments will be analyzed using SPSS (Version 28). The following procedures will be used:

Data screening: Missing values, outliers, and normality (e.g., Shapiro-Wilk tests) will be checked to assess assumptions for parametric testing.

Paired-sample t-tests will be used to assess statistically significant changes in:

Emotional regulation (DERS-SF scores).

Creative fluency (AUT-based visual production scores).

If parametric assumptions are violated, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests will be used.

Effect sizes will be calculated to interpret the magnitude of observed changes.

Subgroup analyses may explore whether age, gender, or prior art experience influences outcomes.

Data will be interpreted in light of researcher's model of creativity, particularly its emphasis on fluency and flexibility, and researchers' model of emotional development, particularly emotional regulation capacities [16].

3) Qualitative Analysis

Qualitative data (interviews, journals, artwork reflections) will be analyzed using a thematic analysis approach. The process will follow six steps: familiarization, generating initial codes, searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining/naming themes, and writing up.

A hybrid inductive-deductive approach will be adopted:

Deductive codes will be informed by theoretical constructs from researcher's sociocultural theory (e.g. mediation, symbolism, zone of proximal development), researcher's dimensions of creativity, and other researchers' implementation science framework.

Inductive codes will capture emergent patterns in students' and teachers' language and narratives [17-19].

Visual data (student artwork) will be analyzed using a modified symbolic content analysis framework. Drawings will be coded for:

Use of metaphor and emotional expression.

Elements of creative divergence (e.g., visual fluency, originality of interpretation).

Student annotations or oral explanations will be transcribed and linked to visual elements for multimodal analysis.

To enhance trustworthiness, a subset of transcripts and visual artifacts will be double-coded by an independent rater. Member checking will be offered to teacher participants and students who submitted reflective journals.

4) Integration of Data Strands

In the final phase, findings from both strands will be integrated through a joint display matrix, identifying:

Areas of convergence (e.g., improved regulation scores supported by reflective entries).

Areas of divergence (e.g., strong emotional gains reported despite modest creativity scores).

Areas of complementarity (e.g., qualitative insights into the context behind observed trends).

This interpretive synthesis will support evidence-informed recommendations for policy and practice, particularly around how VAT can be scaled or embedded into arts and emotional curricula in schools.

4.2. Parallel Trends Test

Methodological robustness is ensured through systematic triangulation across qualitative data sources. Interview narratives, artwork symbolism, and behavioral observations are cross-verified to confirm consistent patterns. For instance, a participant's verbal description of their artwork as "chaotic but hopeful" is checked against coded visual elements (fragmented shapes with warm colors) and observed creative process (tentative then confident strokes). This multi-source validation follows researcher's case study methodology, enhancing interpretive credibility.

Researcher reflexivity is maintained through ongoing reflective practices. Team members document biases and assumptions in journals, particularly regarding symbolic interpretation. One entry noted an initial tendency to read "dark colors" as negative until

a participant explained their cultural significance as protective. These reflections inform regular debriefing sessions with cross-disciplinary teams (art therapists, sociologists) to challenge disciplinary blind spots — a practice researcher recommends for qualitative art therapy research [10].

Cultural appropriateness is embedded throughout the qualitative design. Data collection tools prioritize culturally resonant activities, substituting Western "self-portraits" with "symbolic mask-making" in collectivist contexts. The coding framework incorporates a culture-specific symbol lexicon (e.g., "bamboo = resilience" in East Asian traditions), improving interpretive accuracy for local expressions. These adaptations respond to researcher's critique of Western-centric art therapy assessment in non-Western educational settings [20].

Ethical safeguards exceed standard requirements through innovative implementation. Child-friendly consent forms use visual aids and emoji scales to explain risks/benefits developmentally appropriately. A partnership with school counselors establishes referral protocols for distressed participants, utilized twice during piloting. These measures operationalize American Art Therapy Association guidelines while addressing researchers' concerns about mental health support in Chinese schools.

Qualitative-quantitative integration occurs at multiple analytical levels. Emerging themes (e.g., "metaphor use linked to emotional relief") are mapped onto quantitative outcomes (reduced SDQ scores) to explain intervention mechanisms. Statistical correlations ($r = 0.42$) between symbolic artwork elements and TTCT originality scores suggest creativity-emotion synergies. This mixed-methods integration creates one which term "transformational" insights — findings that transcend individual methodological limitations through synthesis [15].

The qualitative component's unique value lies in capturing VAT's experiential essence. Where quantitative measures show whether the intervention works, qualitative methods reveal how and why through rich participant narratives and symbolic analysis. A student's description of "drawing my anger as a storm that gradually cleared" exemplifies insights unobtainable through scales alone. This depth complements quantitative rigor, fulfilling the hybrid design's promise of comprehensive understanding.

Researcher positionality is carefully considered given the study's interpretive nature. As a psychology-trained investigator, I recognize inherent biases toward clinical interpretation of artistic expressions. For instance, initial tendencies to pathologize fragmented imagery as "trauma indicators" were tempered by participants explaining such works as experimental creativity. Regular peer debriefing with an art educator and sociologist helps counter disciplinary blind spots, following some researchers' recommendations for multidisciplinary art therapy research [13].

Cultural standpoint significantly influences symbolic interpretation. My urban, middle-class background initially led to misreading rural students' "empty spaces" as loneliness rather than intentional minimalism. Member checking with participants corrected several such misinterpretations, including revising "art as an escape" to "art as reimagining reality" based on their feedback. These reflexive practices align with researchers' emphasis on researcher subjectivity management in thematic analysis.

Three specific strategies maintain interpretive rigor throughout the study. First, interdisciplinary debriefing sessions (monthly with art therapists and educators) scrutinize coding decisions, such as distinguishing anxiety-indicated repetition from cultural patterning. Second, respondent validation allows participants to review anonymized data interpretations, enhancing authenticity. Third, cultural auditing by local artists ensures symbolic meanings (e.g., red ink during Lunar New Year) are correctly contextualized — a process some researchers deem essential for cross-cultural art therapy research.

Personal engagement with artistic practice informs methodological choices. Firsthand experience with creative blocks and breakthroughs fosters empathy during participant interviews. However, this insider perspective is balanced by systematic bracketing — documenting personal art-making experiences separately from research analysis.

This reflexive practice acknowledges while controlling for shared experiential knowledge, addressing both the advantages and risks of researcher-participant symmetry in arts research [10].

The research team's composition reflects deliberate diversity to strengthen reflexivity. Including members from clinical, educational, and fine arts backgrounds ensures multiple perspectives on data interpretation. Regular "bias check" meetings surface disciplinary assumptions (e.g., psychologists prioritizing pathology vs. artists valuing aesthetic risk-taking). This pluralism embodies some researchers' pragmatic approach, where multiple truths are examined through collaborative inquiry to reach warranted assertions [5].

1) Analysis of Pilot Results (Quantitative Focus)

Descriptive statistics from the pilot revealed increases in post-intervention scores for emotional regulation (M = 3.9, SD = 0.5) and creativity (M = 4.2, SD = 0.4). Cronbach's α values exceeded 0.80 across all three instruments, confirming internal consistency. However, item analysis identified ceiling effects in the PANAS-C positive effect subscale. These findings guided item revision and sampling expansion. Subgroup differences by gender were negligible, but qualitative feedback suggested males were less engaged in reflective art prompts.

2) Revisions Based on Feedback (Quantitative Focus)

Based on pilot feedback, four Likert-scale items were reworded for clarity and emotional neutrality. For instance, "I often feel bad at school" was changed to "I sometimes feel uncomfortable in school settings". Reverse-coded items were added to reduce acquiescence bias. The TTCT scoring protocol was also revised to improve intercoder reliability, and a training session for raters was introduced. All revisions were documented in a version-controlled log for transparency.

3) Implications for Main Study (Quantitative Focus)

The revised tools are suitable for scaled implementation across two schools, with stratified sampling to ensure diversity across age and gender. Google Forms will be used for efficient data collection, and automated alerts will track non-responses. To address potential dropout, a reminder protocol will be implemented at week 3 and week 5. The strengthened reliability of scales enhances confidence in the validity of forthcoming comparisons between intervention and control groups.

4) Analysis of Pilot Results (Qualitative Focus)

Thematic analysis using researchers' six-phase framework revealed three key themes: (1) Symbolic expression of repressed emotions; (2) Art as a "safe space" for identity exploration; and (3) Barriers to verbal expression among certain students. One participant described their artwork as "a silent scream", highlighting emotional depth not visible in standard self-report tools. However, divergence emerged — two students reported feeling "pressured to produce something meaningful". These contradictions informed adjustments to session framing and prompt variety.

5) Revisions Based on Feedback (Qualitative Focus)

The interview guide was revised to include warm-up prompts and visual aids to ease students into reflective dialogue. Two abstract questions were replaced with specific prompts (e.g., "Can you describe a moment in the session that stayed with you?"). A follow-up probe on peer collaboration was added based on student interest in teamwork dynamics. The session length was shortened to 25 minutes, and flexibility was introduced to allow private drawing time before verbal reflection.

6) Implications for Main Study (Qualitative Focus)

Refined tools are expected to yield richer, more authentic narratives in the main study. To ensure data credibility, peer debriefing, and coding cross-checks will be used. Participant comfort will be prioritized through flexible scheduling and trauma-informed interviewing protocols. Patterns emerging from visual and verbal data will be triangulated with questionnaire results to enhance interpretive robustness. Thematic saturation will be monitored across sessions to ensure completeness [20].

5. Conclusion

1) Summary of Key Findings

The pilot demonstrated that both quantitative and qualitative tools captured distinct but complementary dimensions of emotional and creative development. Quantitative scales showed good reliability, while qualitative interviews revealed symbolic, non-verbal emotional expressions that complemented measured outcomes. Pilot findings also challenged initial assumptions about student readiness for abstract symbolism, prompting revisions in session structure and facilitation.

2) Implications for Main Study

The refined toolkit will be deployed in a five-week intervention across two secondary schools, engaging approximately 60 students aged 12-18. To ensure fidelity and scalability, teacher co-facilitators will receive training in trauma-informed art practices and cultural symbolism interpretation. As the educational philosopher John Dewey asserted, "Education is not preparation for life; education is life itself". This study operationalizes Dewey's vision by embedding art therapy not as an add-on but as a lived, transformative experience within the curriculum.

3) Long-Term Vision

As Maria Montessori noted, "The environment must be rich in motives which lend interest to activity and invite the child to conduct his own experiences". By training teachers to co-create such environments, this study aims to: Shift perceptions of art from a decorative skill to a core literacy for emotional and cognitive growth.

References

1. D. Moriya, "Ethical Issues in School Art Therapy," *Art Ther.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 59–65, 2006, doi: 10.1080/07421656.2006.10129643.
2. S. Heath, *Image-music-text*. London, UK: Fontana, 1977. ISBN: 0374521360.
3. K. H. Kim, "Learning From Each Other: Creativity in East Asian and American Education," *Creat. Res. J.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 337–347, 2005, doi: 10.1207/s15326934crj1704_5.
4. V. M. Ateca-Amestoy, V. Ginsburgh, I. Mazza, J. O'Hagan, and J. Prieto-Rodriguez, *Enhancing Participation in the Arts in the EU*. Switzerland: Springer, 2017. ISBN: 9783319090962.
5. J. Dewey, "Experience and Education," *Educ. Forum*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 241–252, 1986, doi: 10.1080/00131728609335764.
6. R. Goodman, "Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire," *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 1337–1345, 2001, doi: 10.1097/00004583-200111000-00015.
7. M. Bakker, J. Torbeyns, L. Verschaffel, and B. De Smedt, "The mathematical, motivational, and cognitive characteristics of high mathematics achievers in primary school," *J. Educ. Psychol.*, vol. 114, no. 5, p. 992, 2022, doi: 10.1037/edu0000678.
8. P. Barth and G. Stadtmann, "Creativity in the West and the East: A Meta-Analysis of Cross-Cultural Differences," *Creat. Res. J.*, pp. 1–47, 2024, doi: 10.1080/10400419.2024.2369442.
9. J. L. King, "Art Therapy: A Brain-based Profession," in *The Wiley Handbook of Art Therapy*, 1st ed., Wiley, 2015, pp. 77–89. ISBN: 9781118306598.
10. C. A. Malchiodi, Trauma and Expressive Arts Therapy: Brain, Body, and Imagination in the Healing Process. New York, NY: The Guilford Press, 2020. ISBN: 9781462543113.
11. M. Montessori, *The Absorbent Mind*. Lulu.com, 2011. ISBN: 9780557368747.
12. Y. Zhao, "How Not to Kill Creativity?," in *Creative Provocations: Speculations on the Future of Creativity, Technology & Learning*, D. Henriksen and P. Mishra, Eds., vol. 7, *Creativity Theory and Action in Education*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2022. ISBN: 9783031145483.
13. J. A. Rubin, *Introduction to Art Therapy: Sources & Resources*. New York, NY: Routledge, 2009. ISBN: 9780203893968.
14. S. Acar, K. Berthiaume, K. Grajzel, D. Dumas, C. Flemister "Tedd", and P. Organisciak, "Applying Automated Originality Scoring to the Verbal Form of Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking," *Gifted Child Q.*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 3–17, 2023, doi: 10.1177/00169862211061874.
15. R. J. Sternberg, "Transformational Creativity: The Link between Creativity, Wisdom, and the Solution of Global Problems," *Philosophies*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 75, 2021, doi: 10.3390/philosophies6030075.
16. E. P. Torrance, *Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT)* [Database record], APA PsycTests, doi: 10.1037/t05532-000.
17. L. S. Vygotsky, *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*, vol. 86. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1978. ISBN: 9780674576285.
18. H. Öztürk-Arenz, T. Dietz, V. Schiewer, V. Durakovic, and M. Kusch, "The impact of creative arts therapies on emotional speechlessness in cancer: A pilot study," *Arts Psychother.*, vol. 85, 102056, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.aip.2023.102056.

19. D. Hocoy, "Cross-cultural Issues in Art Therapy," *Art Therapy*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 141–145, 2002, doi: 10.1080/07421656.2002.10129683.
20. J. Chen, C. T. Li, T. M. Li, N. Y. Chan, J. W. Chan, Y. Liu, et al., "A forgotten sign of depression–the omega sign and its implication," *Asian J. Psychiatry*, vol. 80, 103345, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2022.103345.