European Journal of AI, Computing & Informatics

Vol. 1 No. 2 2025

Article **Open Access**

Optimization of Valuation Models for AI Investment Projects and Decision Support

Lingyun Lai [1,*]

[1] Financial Department, BCG Glass Industry Inc., New York, NY, 10017, United States
* Correspondence: Lingyun Lai, Financial Department, BCG Glass Industry Inc., New York, NY, 10017, United States

Abstract: With the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) technology, investment in the field of AI has gradually become a capital hotspot. This paper explores the integration of AI project valuation and decision support systems, analyzes the core challenges of investment in AI, and proposes optimization paths, including building a flexible valuation framework, optimizing valuation methods, designing a comprehensive risk assessment system, and promoting the improvement of policies and regulations. It aims to improve the level of decision support, reduce investment risks, and promote the healthy development of the AI industry.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; investment valuation; decision support system; risk assessment; optimization model

Received: 07 May 2025
Revised: 11 May 2025
Accepted: 23 May 2025
Published: 26 May 2025

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI), as one of the leading areas in current scientific and technological development, has attracted a large influx of capital. However, the investment valuation of AI projects faces many challenges, including the rapid development of technology, high market uncertainty, and difficulties in forecasting, resulting in traditional valuation models that are difficult to adapt. In addition, the AI industry lacks perfect policy and regulatory support, which increases the risk of investment. Building efficient valuation models and decision support systems is crucial to reducing risk burden and improving investment accuracy. This paper will explore the combination of AI project valuation and decision support systems, analyze the limitations of current models, and propose optimization paths, aiming to provide a more rigorous and comprehensive reference for AI investment [1].

2. Combination of AI Project Valuation and Decision Support System

The investment valuation of AI projects covers multiple factors, such as technology development, market prospects, team capabilities, competitive situation, etc., which are highly uncertain. Traditional valuation models often rely on historical data and fixed presuppositions, but in the rapidly evolving AI industry, this approach can be difficult to accurately reflect the current reality. Decision support systems (DSS) can help investors more fully assess the potential and risks of AI projects through data analysis, model simulation, and scenario forecasting. The combination of valuation model and decision support system can realize dynamic adjustment and multi-dimensional risk assessment, so as

to improve the accuracy of investment decision [2]. Table 1 below shows the key elements and roles of AI project valuation model combined with DSS:

Table 1. Key Elements of AI Project Valuation Model Combined with Decision Support System and Their Functions.

Key element	Effect
Technical evaluation	The maturity and innovation of AI technology is assessed to predict its market potential
Market prospect analysis	Analyze the application scenarios and market needs of AI technology, and evaluate the future growth space
Risk management	Identify potential risks and dynamically monitor and adjust them through a decision support system
Influence of regulations and policies	Combined with the analysis of policy environment, evaluate the impact of laws and regulations on investment decisions

As can be seen from Table 1, the combination of AI project valuation and decision support systems can enhance the scientific basis and accuracy of decision making, thus improving the success rate of AI project investment.

3. Investment Status and Valuation Model Challenges in AI Field

3.1. Uncertainty and Forecasting Difficulties in the AI Investment Market

The investment market in AI is highly uncertain, mainly due to the accelerating pace of technological development and rapid changes in market demand. AI technology itself is highly dynamic, with new algorithms and innovative applications emerging endlessly, which makes it difficult for traditional forecasting models to adapt. With the gradual expansion of the application of AI technology, its market prospects are not only driven by technological innovation, but also limited by external conditions such as the evolution of social needs and policy guidance. The interaction of these variable factors makes it extremely challenging to accurately predict future market demand. In addition, the maturity cycle of AI technology is long, the practical application effect of the project is often difficult to verify in the short term, The technology's potential must be validated through long-term market testing, and in its early stages, investors often lack sufficient information to accurately evaluate its future market prospects. Due to the complex commercialization path of AI projects, which may involve multiple industries and application fields, the possibility of success is affected by many factors, and it is difficult for investors to accurately predict the future return, thus amplifying the risk of investment [3].

3.2. Rapid Development of AI Technology and Lagging Valuation Model

With the rapid development of AI technology, the existing valuation models are lagging behind in many aspects, and it is difficult to accurately reflect the innovation and potential value of the technology. Traditional valuation methods often rely on static financial data, such as revenue, profit, etc., to evaluate, but the value of AI projects is often closely related to the forward-looking technology, market adaptability, and the social benefits it brings, which are not quantified by traditional valuation models. The rapid iteration of AI technology has made many valuation methods based on historical data powerless in the face of technological innovation. For example, the traditional discounted cash flow (DCF) model assumes that project cash flows are stable and predictable. However, the return cycle of AI projects is often influenced by technological advancements and shifts in the market environment, making accurate cash flow prediction particularly challenging [4,5]. Modern valuation models need to be more dynamic and flexible to better reflect the growth and uncertainty of AI technology, and a common adjustment approach is to modify traditional valuation formulas by introducing technology growth factors. In this context, the discounted cash flow model can be improved as follows:

$$V = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t} \times G_t \quad (1)$$

Among them, V represents the valuation of AI projects, CF_t is the expected cash flow for year t, r is the discount rate, G_t is a technology growth factor, which reflects the promotion effect of technological progress on cash flow. The formula is introduced by G_t to capture the characteristics of the rapid development of AI technology and reflect the impact of technological innovation on the value of the project. However, existing valuation models are difficult to adapt to the rapid changes in the AI industry, especially the uncertainty of technological advances and market demand. Innovation models are needed, taking into account factors such as technology trends, innovation capabilities, and team backgrounds.

3.3. High Risk and High Failure Rate of AI Investment Projects

AI investment projects face high risks and high failure rates, mainly due to technical complexity, long cycles of market validation, and uncertainties in project execution. The development of AI technology usually requires interdisciplinary professional teams, and the research and development cycle are long, often exceeding investor expectations. Even when the technology is mature, there remain significant uncertainties regarding the commercialization potential of the project. The success of the project depends not only on the feasibility of the technology but also on multiple factors such as actual market demand and the competitive landscape within the industry. These uncertainties have led many AI projects to fail in achieving their stated goals despite initial promise, ultimately resulting in significant financial losses. In addition, the failure rate of AI projects is closely related to the rapid changes in the industry. With technological progress, existing technologies may be replaced by emerging ones, putting projects at risk of obsolescence. It is difficult for investors to accurately assess the long-term feasibility of a project at the early stage, and many projects encounter difficulties before market verification. Even if the project is successfully launched, the underestimation of market demand or the technical advantages of competitors may lead to failure and ultimately fail to achieve profitability.

3.4. Lack of and Imperfections in AI Investment Policies and Regulations

One of the main challenges facing AI investment is the lag of policies and regulations. With the rapid development of AI technology, the existing legal and regulatory framework has failed to adapt to the new situation in a timely manner, resulting in the lack of a sound AI legal system in many countries and regions. This legal gap increases investment uncertainty, especially in the areas of data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and intellectual property protection, and the absence of relevant policies further increases investment risk. At the same time, the imperfect policies and regulations make investors lack confidence in the long-term development of AI projects. Although many AI projects have made breakthroughs in the early stages of technology, their commercialization and marketing are often hindered due to the lack of clear policy support, affecting the sustainable development of projects, such as cross-border data flow and privacy protection, and the current legal framework is difficult to effectively solve, thus increasing policy risks. In the valuation model, the expected return of the project can be adjusted by introducing the policy risk coefficient, the formula is as follows:

$$V = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{CF_t}{(1+r)^t} \times (1 - R_{p)} \quad (2)$$

Among them, R_p represents the policy risk coefficient, reflecting the impact of the uncertainty of policies and regulations on the project value. The increase in policy risk directly reduces the expected value of the project, so investors must consider this factor when investing in AI projects in order to make more reasonable decisions.

4. Optimization Path of AI Project Valuation Model and Decision Support System

4.1. Build a Valuation Model That Is Flexible and Adaptable to Market Uncertainties

Market uncertainty is a key factor in investing in AI projects, with traditional static valuation models often struggling to cope with rapidly changing market conditions and technological advances. Therefore, building a flexible and dynamically adjusted valuation model is one of the core approaches to optimize investment decision support system. The model needs to be able to reflect changes in technological innovation and market demand in real time, and adjust to fluctuations in the external environment, especially in terms of policy, competition and consumer demand. Models should be analyzed in combination with multiple data sources, including historical data, market forecasts, and expert opinions. In addition, the flexibility of the valuation model requires the ability to adapt to changes in requirements at different stages of the project. In the early stages, technical potential and team capability should be the main basis for evaluation, while in the later stages, financial data and market performance become the key reference indicators. The scenario analysis module is the key link to improve the prediction ability of the model. By setting optimistic, benchmark and pessimistic scenarios, the performance of different market environments is predicted, and the final valuation result is weighted. The introduction of Monte Carlo simulation, sensitivity analysis, and other quantitative methods can further improve the accuracy of the valuation model to ensure reasonable decisions in complex and uncertain environments. Table 2 below summarizes the functions and implementation methods of the valuation module:

Table 2. The Functions and Implementation Methods of the Valuation Module.

Module	Function description	Implementation mode
Technology evaluation module	Evaluate the maturity, innovation, and potential of AI technologies	TRL (Technical Readiness Level) model
Market scenario analysis module	Analyze market demand, industry trends, competitive landscape and consumer acceptance	Multi-scenario analysis (optimistic, baseline, pessimistic)
Financial forecasting module	Forecast the future cash flow and profitability of the project based on historical financial data	Financial forecasting models (DCF, net present value, etc.)
Risk assessment module	Consider the impact of technology, market, policy and other risks on the project	Monte Carlo simulation, sensitivity analysis
Flexible adjustment mechanism	Adjust valuation models dynamically based on new information and market changes	Dynamic feedback mechanism, periodic model updating

As can be seen from Table 2, through this multi-dimensional flexible valuation method, investors can make more accurate decisions in the uncertain market environment, so as to effectively avoid investment risks and improve return potential.

4.2. Optimize the Valuation Method to Adapt to the Rapid Evolution and Innovation of AI Technology

The investment risk of AI projects is high, and establishing a comprehensive risk assessment system that adapts to the characteristics of the AI industry is crucial for accurately assessing investment risks. AI projects involve not only technological complexity but also are affected by multiple factors such as market demand, policies and regulations, and competitive situations. Therefore, a single financial risk assessment is insufficient to address this multidimensional complexity. A comprehensive risk assessment system can help investors identify the potential risks of the project more accurately and provide more powerful support for investment decisions. For example, an AI startup focused on speech

recognition technology relies on deep learning and large amounts of data as its core technology. Technology iteration and optimization are the main risks it faces. In this case, it is critical to assess the maturity of the technology, which can be evaluated through the technology readiness model (TRL) from concept to commercialization. For example, the speech recognition technology is at the TRL 5 stage. Although the prototype system has passed tests, it still needs further optimization to adapt to actual application scenarios, and the feasibility of the technology remains uncertain. Therefore, the evaluation system should conduct regular technical reviews, track the progress of research and development, and adjust the risk assessment according to the evolution of technology.

Furthermore, the uncertainty of market demand is also a risk factor. Although speech recognition technology has a wide range of application potential, the market demand and user acceptance are still uncertain. The evaluation system can analyze performance under different market conditions through the market scenario model, set optimistic, benchmark, and pessimistic scenarios, flexibly adjust investment strategies, and respond to market changes in a timely manner.

4.3. Design a Comprehensive Risk Assessment System That Conforms to the Characteristics of the AI Industry

Designing a comprehensive risk assessment system that is consistent with the characteristics of the AI industry is critical for investors because AI projects involve multiple risks, including technical, market and legal risks. Traditional risk assessment methods typically focus on financial and market risk, but AI projects require a more sophisticated multi-dimensional management framework. The evaluation system should not only assess technology maturity, but also dynamically respond to technological innovation, market changes, and industry competition. The Technology Readiness Level (TRL) evaluates technological progress and periodically reviews algorithm performance to address potential technical obstacles. In addition, combining market scenario analysis to set different market environments (optimistic, benchmark, pessimistic) helps investors quantify risks and make flexible decisions. In sensitive industries, data privacy and compliance risks are particularly important. Therefore, the evaluation system should integrate relevant regulations to ensure that projects comply with data privacy, intellectual property, and other legal requirements, thereby reducing compliance risks. Through this comprehensive evaluation system, investors can accurately identify potential risks and make scientific and reasonable decisions. Table 3 below summarizes the risk assessment system for AI projects:

Table 3. Risk Assessment System of AI Project.

Risk type	Evaluation tool	Evaluation content
Technical risk	Technical Readiness Model (TRL)	Evaluate technology maturity and development progress
Market risk	Market scenario analysis	Assess changes in demand and competition based on different market scenarios
Regulatory risk	Regulatory compliance check	Assess compliance with data privacy, intellectual property, etc.

As can be seen from Table 3, multi-dimensional risk assessment can help investors identify potential technical, market and regulatory risks, and make flexible adjustments according to different scenarios to maximize investment returns.

4.4. Promote the Improvement and Innovation of AI Industry Policies and Legal Frameworks

The policy and legal framework of the industry is still imperfect, which makes investors face a high level of uncertainty when investing in AI projects. With the rapid devel-

opment of AI technology, it has brought a wealth of technical opportunities, but also accompanied by a series of complex legal and ethical issues. The healthy development of the AI industry and the protection of investors' interests require the improvement of relevant policies and legal frameworks as soon as possible, especially in key areas such as data privacy, intellectual property protection, and algorithmic transparency. For example, in AI-driven medical image analysis, deep learning techniques are used to develop automated diagnostic systems that involve large amounts of personal patient data. However, the current legal framework lacks clear guidance on data protection and privacy, especially in the context of legal differences in different countries and regions, which undoubtedly increases investment risks. In the face of this challenge, investors need to push policymakers and regulators to introduce clearer laws and regulations to ensure that the balance between promoting technological innovation and properly protecting user privacy is achieved. In addition, as AI technology rapidly evolves, the legal framework should also innovate to address ethical and liability issues. In high-risk areas such as autonomous driving and medical diagnosis, decisions made by AI systems may affect human life safety, and policies and laws should clarify liability attribution and compensation mechanisms.

The development of government regulation and industry standards should go hand in hand, and enterprises and investors should also actively participate in the revision of the legal framework to promote legal and compliant operations. This will help investors make decisions in a clear legal environment, reduce legal risk and ensure long-term returns.

5. Conclusion

With the rapid development of AI technology, investment and decision-making in the field of AI are facing unprecedented tests and opportunities. Traditional valuation models and risk assessment systems are difficult to meet the uniqueness of AI projects and the vagaries of the market, so it is particularly important to build a flexible and industry-specific valuation framework and risk assessment system. At the same time, the policy and legal framework of the AI industry has not yet been perfected, and it is urgent to strengthen the construction of relevant regulations to ensure that investors' rights and interests can be effectively protected while promoting technological innovation and reducing legal and moral hazards.

References

1. E. Cano-Marin, "The transformative potential of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) in business: a text mining analysis on innovation data sources," *ESIC Mark.*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. e333–e333, 2024, doi: 10.7200/esicm.55.333.
2. X. Pan, K. Jiao, X. Li, L. Feng, Y. Tian, L. Wu *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence-based tools with automated segmentation and measurement on CT images to assist accurate and fast diagnosis in acute pancreatitis," *Br. J. Radiol.*, vol. 97, no. 1159, pp. 1268–1277, 2024, doi: 10.1093/bjr/tqae091.
3. R. Alsabt, Y. A. Adenle, and H. M. Alshuwaikhat, "Exploring the roles, future impacts, and strategic integration of artificial intelligence in the optimization of Smart City—from systematic literature review to conceptual model," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 8, p. 3389, 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16083389.
4. Z. Shan, Q. Tan, H. Dong, and Y. Ding, "Optimization model of low-carbon technology adoption timing for coal power under decarbonization and flexibility demand: Empirical study in Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, China," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 358, p. 122647, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2024.122647.
5. M. G. Asl, S. B. Jabeur, H. Nammouri, and K. B. H. Miled, "Dynamic connectedness of quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and big data stocks on renewable and sustainable energy," *Energy Econ.*, vol. 140, p. 108017, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2024.108017.